

# THE STANDARD

PUBLISHED EVERY WEDNESDAY, BY WM. T. CROASDALE, AT NO. 42 UNIVERSITY PLACE.

VOL. IX.

NEW YORK, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 1891.

No. 8.

**SHALL A NEW ISSUE BE RAISED?**—Without attempting to discuss the question of free silver coinage on its merits, we clearly indicated in the last issue of **THE STANDARD** our opinion that it would be unfortunate to make that question a party issue until the tariff question is disposed of, and we declared our conviction that, when the leaders of the Democratic party carefully study the situation, they will see the folly of any attempt to substitute for the tariff issue any other that will divide their party and subject it to serious danger of overwhelming defeat. We anticipated much hot-headed protest and angry remonstrance from those who have committed themselves to the free coinage proposal, but we, at the same time, declared our conviction that the sober second thought of the people would result in the refusal by the Democratic party to make the dangerous attempt of subordinating the issue on which it won its phenomenal victory of last Fall to any other. Since that article was written we are glad to see that the Democratic Governor of Massachusetts and all but one of the Democratic members of the Wisconsin Legislature have boldly taken their stand against this attempt to practically abandon the tariff issue and commit their party to the new issue of free coinage.

This support of Mr. Cleveland's attitude was, however, to be expected; but the comments of the Democratic advocates of free coinage in the Southwest are of greater interest and importance. One of the most important of these advocates is the *St. Louis Republic*, which has been at once a staunch supporter of free silver and an advocate of the renomination of Mr. Cleveland as the Democratic candidate for President. The *Republic* does not disguise its regret that Mr. Cleveland has not changed his mind, and it still adheres to its queer notion that he may even yet be induced to run as a candidate on a platform one important plank of which he repudiates. It nevertheless declares that the Democratic party has never declared its opinion on this question, and it merely expresses a hope that if the next Democratic National Convention shall declare for free coinage that Mr. Cleveland will submit to its decision and accept its nomination. It thus confesses that the free coinage notion is not yet a part of the Democratic creed, and it insists that the tariff question is and will remain the paramount issue in our politics "until all the questions involved in it are rightly solved." In a later issue the *Republic* declares its belief that Mr. Cleveland "is honestly and courageously wrong" on the silver question, but it adds:

As the Democratic party, in its platforms, has not yet spoken for free coinage, he is not yet bound, as a Democrat, to hold for free coinage, and he must not be "read out" of the party for expressing a private opinion. He must come to his party on this question, and that he may have the opportunity, he must have his party support where he is right—as he is on its paramount issue of the reform of the tariff.

Of course there is nothing in the *Republic's* idea that Mr. Cleveland would be bound to accept a doctrine he disbelieves in if it were adopted by the Democratic National Convention. With the Democratic platform as it stands to-day Mr. Cleveland is, as the *Republic* says, in entire accord. If the Democratic party wants to make a new issue, then it must get a candidate representing that issue. The important thing, however, about all this is that one of the strongest and ablest Democratic newspapers committed to free coinage evi-

dently does not believe that Mr. Cleveland's letter has made him unavailable as a candidate. If it does not think so now it never will think so.

A clearer, and in some respects a still more significant utterance, comes from another great Democratic paper in the Southwest, the *Memphis Appeal-Avalanche*. This paper treats the question with a courage and candor too rare in political journals. It asks the question: What is the Democratic position on the question of the free coinage of silver, and frankly admits that Democrats in Congress have voted for free coinage, and several Democratic State Conventions—that of Tennessee among them—have declared for it. Nevertheless, it reminds its readers that no Democratic National Convention has made any such declaration, and that Grover Cleveland, whose views on the silver question were then well known, was renominated for President on a tariff reform platform that was silent on the silver question. It therefore declares that "as a Democrat," Mr. Cleveland "has still the privilege of free speech on the coinage question, and he cannot be read out of the party, because the views he declares in 1891 are identical with those he held in 1884." As to the timeliness of Mr. Cleveland's letter to the Reform Club meeting, it says:

Mr. Cleveland was called on for an opinion. The request was pertinent. He is not a man of equivocations. He wears his principles on his sleeve. He seeks to secure no honors by deluding the people. He is willing to stand or fall by what he believes to be right and Democratic. As he never betrayed a trust, he never sought a trust by veiling his beliefs. He is the great, true Cube among the politicians and statesmen of his day.

The *Appeal* then proceeds to discuss the question as to how far Mr. Cleveland's plainly expressed opinions agree with those of Democrats throughout the country. It refers to the fact that Senator Lamar boldly defied the instructions of the Mississippi Legislature as to his vote in one phase of the silver question, and yet, in a straight contest before the people, won a renomination for the Senatorship. This it apparently accepts as an indication that the free coinage sentiment had not at that time any substantial hold on the Democrats of Mississippi, despite the fact that its supposed hold upon them had caused their representatives in the Legislature to undertake to coerce Mr. Lamar into voting against his known convictions. The *Appeal* says it has made inquiries on this subject among the Democratic business men of Memphis, and has found that the majority of them do not regard free coinage as a party question, and that they acknowledge that they have not given the subject any really serious consideration. It says:

We cite this to show that, so far as the Democratic party is concerned, the question is open for debate, and that Mr. Cleveland has done the party no wrong and himself no injury by his honest and candid declaration of opinion upon an economic proposition about which many leading Democrats differ, and to which hundreds of thousands have given no study whatever—a proposition which has not had its origin with the people, but has been submitted by the mine owners of the silver-bearing States. What the next Democratic National Convention may do is another matter. If it shall prepare a platform upon which Mr. Cleveland cannot stand, he will merely bow to the will of his party and retire. But until the Convention shall speak no man can gainsay the propriety of frank utterances among Democrats. Meantime the whole world applauds the fearless honesty of Grover Cleveland.

Of course rabid utterances by the smaller and less important Democratic papers of the Southwest can easily be found, and they are eagerly sought by papers like the *New York Sun*, which hate Mr. Cleveland, because he represents convictions and high purpose instead of intrigue and spoils in politics; but the



papers we have cited are among the most important in the region where the silver coinage is most prevalent, and both of them clearly apprehend the fact that Grover Cleveland stands to-day on the Democratic platform, as officially declared by the last National Democratic Convention, and that the men and newspapers that are assailing him on account of the views expressed in his letter to the President of the Reform Club, are doing so because he does adhere to the issue now dividing parties, instead of accepting a new question, that is sure to divide his party, as the paramount issue. Let this not be forgotten for a moment. The tariff issue is now the paramount issue between the two parties. On that issue the Democratic party is united, and it has the assured support of a vast body of voters outside its own ranks. On that issue it has just won one of the most overwhelming triumphs ever won by a political party in the United States, and on that issue it is sure to win the Presidency and the control of Congress in 1892. Shall that issue be set aside and overshadowed by any other, no matter what, that will divide the party and more than jeopardize its success? This is the question that Democratic politicians must answer. The question for Free Traders and Single Tax men to answer is, shall the fruits of the splendid progress toward rational taxation, made during the past few years, be thrown away because of a temporary and local craze on a minor question. We do not see how either Democratic politicians or Single Tax Free Traders can hesitate a moment before giving a negative answer.

**THE ARCHBISHOP'S "ALBERTUS."**—According to the meagre reports printed in the daily papers the recent conference of the Catholic clergy to consider, among other things, the hypothetical case of Albertus, resulted in nothing very startling, though hints are thrown out by some of the papers that the real sensation is yet to come. On the other hand, the Tribune represents Rev. Dr. McDonnell, the Archbishop's private secretary, as declaring that there is no truth in the report that the Archbishop expects to issue a circular to the clergy and laity on the subject. Dr. McDonnell is represented as saying that the doctrine that private property in land is unjust is contrary to the view of the church, but that the conference was not expected to reach any decision on the subject, but was merely called to discuss a matter upon which the decision of the Archbishop was promulgated long ago.

The Sun's report of the proceedings is apparently the most complete. According to it, Father Russo, the moderator of the conference, in his opening speech, specifically denied that the case of Albertus was a supposititious one, constructed to fit Dr. McGlynn's Anti-Poverty Society, and he strongly indicated that the sending to the newspapers of the circular to the clergy was an act of bad faith of which some priest, then sitting in the conference, was guilty. If this was designed to call forth a confession or a defiance it failed of its object, for no one responded. One of the papers read was by Father McGovern, of Manhattanville, who, according to the Sun's report, agreed with Dean O'Flynn in declaring that "for ages the church and the world have recognized the right of individuals to property in land. *Although the doctrine which denies such right has never been explicitly denounced, the church has implicitly condemned it by her action. She has accumulated property in land.* For these reasons the first confessor was right in condemning the action of Albertus." This seems rather far fetched, and the Sun does not make it quite clear whether it is quoting Father McGovern or Father O'Flynn.

According to the same report Rev. Joseph F. Sheahan, an assistant at St. Agnes' Church in East

Forty-third street, asked if attendance at meetings of the Single Tax Club was to be considered as a "reserved case." A "reserved case" is that of a penitent who has confessed a fault the power to grant absolution for which has been taken from the priests and reserved for the action of the bishop. The moderator is represented as answering that such would not be the case, "because the reservation was against the scandal arising from attendance at the meetings Albertus went to, rather than the doctrine." If this was an authoritative answer THE STANDARD has no peculiar interest in the proceedings of the conference. With questions of church discipline and government, as such, we have no concern. Neither does it matter to us if a majority of the Catholic clergy of this diocese choose to declare their agreement with their Archbishop on a question of economics. Probably a majority of the Protestant clergy likewise agree with the Archbishop in this matter. It is less remarkable in their case than in that of Irish priests, who must know that many of the most learned bishops and priests of their native land unhesitatingly condemn private property in land. It is natural, however, that priests should try to agree with their ecclesiastical head, and we presume that the papers are right in saying that all who expressed an opinion publicly united in condemning Albertus.

This, however, is a vastly different thing from an attempt to use the machinery of the church for coercing men, whether priests or laymen, into abandoning an economic opinion which is, or may become, an issue in our politics. That is something that the American people will not stand, and any persistent attempt in that direction will be sure to get those responsible for it into difficulties. We sincerely hope that the daily papers were mistaken in attributing any such intention to Archbishop Corrigan, and that the assurances of his secretary, as reported by the Tribune, may prove to be accurate and authoritative.

**CONGRESS.**—The term of life of one of the worst Congresses that ever sat in this country will expire at noon one week from to-day. There remain to it but five and a half working days, and the necessity for getting through the appropriation bills is likely to keep the House of Representatives out of mischief. The expectation seems now to be that it will dispose of all these bills before adjournment, and thus avoid an extra session. If there be any possibility of hurrying the bills through, the pending measure, which Captain Codman has aptly described as a bill for the benefit of beggars and thieves, will be brought up. The majority of the Democrats will do all they can to prevent the passage of the bill, even to absenting themselves to prevent a quorum. We are sorry to say that it is merely a majority that will enter on this course. All should be carefully watched, and any man who assists in this monstrous steal should never again be nominated by any Democratic convention. It is simply a part and parcel of the general scheme of wasting money and giving it away in order to justify the continuance of high tariff taxation.

The mere fact that this Congress has been able to sit and legislate since the Republican party was so ignominiously repudiated by the people ought to produce an impression on the minds of the American people that it does not seem thus far to have produced. At the next session of Congress one of the first measures introduced should be a constitutional amendment providing that the House of Representatives elected in 1892 shall meet for business in December of that year, or in January 1893, and preventing the Congress elected last Fall from sitting after the election of 1892. It is difficult to account for the indifference of the American people to



the continuance of a system which nullifies their expressed will, as the existing system does.

Mr. Reed has done all he can to emphasize the necessity for this change. He has of late been, if possible, more lawless and arbitrary than he was before the election. He has undertaken to pass bills by counting as present members who were not present, and when, on the subsequent day, such members have risen and persistently demanded that the journal should be corrected, which declared that they were present, Mr. Reed has refused to entertain the motion and Mr. McKinley, who seems to have learned nothing by his own defeat, has sustained him in the maintenance on the House Journal of a deliberate and wilful falsehood intended to sanction legislation passed when there was not a quorum of the House present. The tremendous audacity of these beaten conspirators seems to suggest that they still hope, in some way, to protect themselves against the wrath of the nation they have outraged and insulted.

**SOME FIGURES THAT WILL NOT DO.**—Senator Stewart, of Nevada, is represented as saying: "If the East will not give us justice, and the South stands by us, we will allow the South to make our Tariff laws for us." "Us," in Senator Stewart's sentence stands for the silver mining States, or rather for the owners of silver mines, who control those States. If the Democrats will declare for free coinage and nominate a free coinage candidate for President they are promised by those for whom Senator Stewart speaks the electoral votes of California, Oregon, Nevada, Colorado, Washington, Montana and Idaho, with a chance of carrying both Dakotas, Kansas, Nebraska and Indiana. Are the Democrats with whom Senator Stewart is trying to make a deal so gullible as to accept these figures? There are no States in the Union that seem more solidly Republican than California, Oregon and Washington. They are all represented by Republican Senators, closely associated with the corporations and great financial interests that dominate all three of those States. Nothing that has recently occurred in any one of them will warrant Senator Stewart in counting them as safe for the Democratic party on the silver question or any other issue.

These three States have together seventeen electoral votes. In the table published in last week's STANDARD we assigned these votes to the Republicans on both the Silver and Tariff issues. The other thirteen electoral votes claimed by Senator Stewart as certain for the Democrats on the Silver issue were conceded to them in that table. In the same table we conceded to them, on the Silver issue, the twenty-five electoral votes of the two Dakotas, Kansas and Nebraska, which Senator Stewart does not attempt to claim. We did, however, assign Indiana to the Republicans on that issue, and we believe it belongs there. Take it out of the Republican column, however, and assign it to the Democrats on the Silver issue and the vote would then stand: Republicans 229, Democrats 215, a Republican majority of 14. This is counting for the Democrats on that issue the twenty-five votes that Senator Stewart classes as doubtful and denying to them the seventeen votes that he claims, without a shadow of authority based on any recent vote in California, Oregon or Washington. He would be a desperate gambler, indeed, who would take such a chance as this, if he had any alternative. Senator Stewart's figures will not incline any sane Democratic politician to chase his rainbow, even if he promises a pot of gold instead of silver.

By the way, the figures given in THE STANDARD last week were based on the assumption that the Democrats shall make so complete a surrender that there will be no important third party in the field. The New York Times,

which published our figures in its editorial columns, and declared that if they erred, it was because they understated rather than overstated the case, failed to note this. If the Democrats refuse to change the issue, their chances will be even better than we represented them, because there will certainly be a third party if both of the old parties refuse to commit themselves to free coinage, and that party will carry Kansas, Nebraska and some of the other States that we assigned to the Republicans on the tariff issue, with no third party in the field. There is hardly any conceivable combination that can beat the Democrats on the tariff issue, while there is no rational estimate that will warrant them in entertaining the slightest hope of winning on the silver issue. The men who are trying to force this new issue on the Democratic party are the worst enemies the party has had in its whole checkered, and often stupid, career.

**THE PARTY OF THE FUTURE.**—Mr. G. E. Baldwin, of Leavenworth, sends us the platform printed at the head of the editorial page of the Daily Times of that city, one of the leading Republican papers of Kansas, and says that, though it does not fully endorse our principles, it comes far enough our way to cause us to feel encouraged. He asks, "Is it not possible that the Republican party will regenerate itself by embracing the Single Tax cause?" The platform on which this query is based favors free silver coinage, Blaine for president, the Australian ballot, woman suffrage, "the issuing of millions of greenbacks monthly until there is currency enough to accommodate the business interests of the country," government supervision of railroads and telegraphs, a free ballot and fair count "in the South the same as in the North," legislation to suppress trusts and limit the power of monopolies, restricting the ownership of American lands to American citizens, the substitution of treasury notes for national bank currency, the one term policy for all executive officials from President down, reducing official salaries 50 per cent., limiting the earning power of money to 4 per cent., making public officers elective by direct vote, reduction of tariff on necessities to the rate under the old law, public investments in real estate securities, exclusive of improvement, at a low rate of interest, stringent laws against bribery, statutory reduction of the profits of railroad and telegraph companies to a maximum of 6 per cent. on cash value, and various other things, some of them local, "too numerous to mention," as the old Vendue bills used to say. The two planks to which Mr. Baldwin calls special attention are as follows:

We favor the exemption of all manufactories, mechanical farming and other industries from taxation.

We favor levying taxes upon the land by the foot and acre, according to its value, and exempting the improvements thereon. If any other tax is needed make it upon incomes.

The utter inconsistency between these two planks and that which clearly favors a continuance of the protective tariff taxes that preceded the McKinley bill is manifest. The demand for legislation against trusts and monopolies is likewise inconsistent with the editor's tariff views. Furthermore, the platform, as a whole, is antagonistic to the openly declared creed of the Republican party, and we therefore fail to see how it indicates a possibility that that party would ever embrace the cause of Single Tax and Free Trade.

The editor of the Daily Times is Mr. D. R. Anthony, an old war-horse politician, who will probably never free his mind from the passions and prejudices of the war. But these passions and prejudices are evidently all that now hold him in any kind of relations with the Republican party of the United States. He evidently is more nearly in accord with the local party in Kansas



that has just sent Peffer to the United States Senate than with the local Republican party that tried to return Ingalls, and the probability is that, if he lives long enough, Mr. Anthony, despite his prejudices, will find himself formally read out of the Republican party in his own State, instead of bringing that party to embrace his views.

Replying to Mr. Baldwin, we therefore feel bound to say that we cannot see in this incident anything warranting an affirmative answer to his question. Of course, no one can safely predict anything about possible changes in political policies, but as matters stand to-day there is no evidence whatever that the Republican party, as such, will ever free itself from the domination of the men who have ruled it for the last few years and, during the past few months, practically ruined it by attempting to make it a mere political machine for serving the interests of the monopolists who contributed the money to purchase for it its present brief lease of power.

The men who constitute the Republican party may leave it, as thousands of them have already done in Kansas, and organize a new party on new issues. They will probably make great blunders in attempting to state these issues, and offer to the people some hotch-potch bundle of incongruities and inconsistencies such as Mr. Anthony offers in his paper, but the tendency will be to clarify men's views through the agitation attending such a change, and it is by no means impossible that a party, largely formed of those who have hitherto blindly adhered to the Protectionist notions, will finally embrace and carry to victory the political programme indicated by the phrase, the Single Tax. On a mere calculation of probabilities it would seem that the drift of the Democratic party toward Free Trade would be likely to make it the organization that would accomplish this purpose, but it is altogether possible that that party may make a futile attempt to stop at a tariff for revenue only, and if that be done, the men who now constitute the Republican party, by that time, will probably be ready to fight for Free Trade and the Single Tax.

THE STANDARD does not attempt to express any definite opinion as to these probabilities. At present the things obviously necessary to do are to destroy the Protection superstition and annihilate the party organization that profits by keeping that superstition alive. When that is accomplished the Single Tax men will continue to go forward, welcoming as allies all who join them in that fight, without inquiry as to their past political belief or associations, and if the organization of those who propose to carry the work for Free Trade to its ultimate shall choose to call itself the Republican party, the name will not stand in the way of its earnest and enthusiastic support by the people who are now co-operating with the Democrats on the Free Trade issue. Such speculation is more interesting than useful, but it can do no harm if it is not allowed to interfere with the present and immediate duty of crushing the party of Protection and war prejudices, as the first step toward a redivision of the people on new issues.

**THE TORRENS SYSTEM.**—Governor Russell recently sent to the Massachusetts Legislature a message favoring the adoption by that Commonwealth of the Australian or Torrens system of registering titles to land, instead of merely registering the deed. In Australia, where the system originated, it is commonly called the Torrens system, from the name of its originator, but elsewhere it is usually called the Australian system. Under it the State provides for a public registration of titles. Any person claiming to own a piece of land can submit his deed and other evidence

of title to a judicial officer called the "Registrar of Titles." Public notice of the application is given so that any persons having opposing claims may have opportunity to appear. When adverse claims are made action is suspended until the contest is settled by the proper court. When the title is once established it is registered, and is thenceforth beyond legal question, and it can only be transferred upon the registry books. The great recommendation of the system, in the eyes of its advocates, is its cheapness and compactness, and the certainty of the title. Its use is optional and not compulsory, but its greater convenience leads to its general adoption whenever opportunity is given.

Readers of THE STANDARD will remember that Mr. George commended the Torrens system in one of his letters from Australia. Under existing circumstances it permits the use of land for building and other purposes, by making transfers easier and titles certain, and it has the additional advantage of giving an indisputable survey and registry of all lands for the purposes of taxation. This fact may cause such landholders as Trinity Church, who conceal the extent of their holdings, to refuse to take advantage of the law, but the superior salability of land with a registered and legally indefeasible title, will cause the registry of all other land, and eventually this will give the public opportunity for a close estimate of the buildings of those who refuse to register. If the system had been in vogue in this city for ten years, there would be very little difficulty in arriving at a pretty fair judgment as to the total proceeds of a tax on land values.

**A PROTECTED TYRANT.**—At Reynolds Bridge, near Waterbury, Conn., there is an establishment known as the American Knife Factory. This factory is one of those that the McKinley bill was intended to aid, and its proprietors evidently intend that any aid thus extended shall be enjoyed by themselves exclusively. The *Waterbury Sunday Herald* says that for some time past the men have been working at a discount of 20 per cent., but they have been promised that the deduction should cease when the state of trade warranted it. The McKinley bill imposes a 100 per cent. duty on pocket cutlery, and it has had the effect of forcing many Americans to buy at a higher price home-made cutlery in place of that previously imported. This, it is understood, is intended for the benefit of American labor.

The workmen of the American Knife Company so understood the matter and modestly asked that the cut in their wages should be reduced from 20 to 10 per cent. This seems very modest, since the tariff "for the benefit of American labor" had already gone into effect. The president of the company, Dr. Ferguson, refused to grant the demand, and declared to the reporter of the local paper that the men were unreasonable since, before the passage of the Tariff bill, they had only been working six hours a day for five days in the week, and they now have work for ten hours, six days in the week at the reduced wages. This enables them to make more money than they were making before the passage of the bill, and the fact that they can earn more and produce more cutlery for the company to sell does not seem to be taken into account. Dr. Ferguson, told the men that he would not pay any increased wages, and said that there was one man amongst them who should never have employment in the shop again, under any circumstances. The men thereupon ceased work and he gave notice that all of them who lived in the company's houses must vacate them at once. He also evicted the father of one of the strikers, though the old man had no connection with the strike.

The one man whom Dr. Ferguson declared should



never again have work in the establishment was John Carreer, who, in a letter to *THE STANDARD*, confirms the statements of the Waterbury paper, and adds: "I do not live in one of the company's houses, or I should have been put out. I built my own house with my own hand, but had to mortgage it for \$350 to pay for materials. We are still out on the so-called strike." Mr. Carreer is a straight-out Single Tax man, and is using his enforced leisure to secure signers for the petition of the national committee. According to the statement of the facts given by the Waterbury paper, the attitude of the president of the company has been as arrogant as it is unjust, and it certainly must offer conclusive evidence to the men immediately concerned that protection to American industry does not mean protection for them.

**THE CANADA CONTEST.**—The Toronto Evening News makes an extract from an article that recently appeared in *THE STANDARD* entitled, "The Canadian Liberal's Dilemma." In the course of an article it compliments *THE STANDARD* as among the fairest of the papers published in New York, and it expresses its full agreement with our declaration that "it is an essential part of any scheme of commercial union that permits Free Trade between Canada and the United States, that the tariffs maintained by the two contracting Governments against the rest of the world shall be equal and uniform." The editor apparently concludes from this that it would be better policy to defeat the Reform party in the coming contest.

The Evening Telegram, though it alludes to the fact that *THE STANDARD* is "out and out in favor of Free Trade," does not reprint that portion of our article in which the suggestion was made to the Reformers that if they had been true to Free Trade principles it would have been impossible for Sir John Macdonald to put them in such a position. We assume from this that, though our Canadian contemporary has been stirred up by Father Huntington's recent address to view with much favor the proposal to establish the Single Tax in municipalities, that it does not yet accept Free Trade as the proper policy for the Dominion at large. We hope the editor will, in course of time, come to see that all taxes on the products of industry are bad, whether levied by a general or a local government.

A valued correspondent at Toronto, in a letter to the editor published elsewhere, without undertaking to deny what *THE STANDARD* says, declares that, nevertheless, he shall support the Reform party in this contest, because that party opposes the men who established high protection in Canada. We think that our correspondent is entirely right. The Reform party, despite its lack of courage and its heterogeneous composition, contains within its ranks the men to whom Canada must look for the overthrow of the Chinese policy of protection that the present Government has forced upon it. Once in power, it will encounter, doubtless, grave difficulties, owing to the tariff policy in this country, and this, in turn, may educate it into accepting the only way out, and that is the advocacy of Free Trade, without regard to the persistence of Americans in their present protective folly.

**TO ENGLISH SINGLE TAXERS.**—What are you doing? Read the article that we reprint elsewhere from the London Weekly Bulletin of January 24. The paper has every appearance of prosperity, and is certainly ably written. It evidently sees clearly the evil of what Mr. Mills called "The Unearned Increment," and it sharply rebukes Mr. Chamberlain for his false and foolish misstatement concerning the cause of the rise in rents. It gives facts and figures illustrating the injustice of the existing system, and it suggests an awk-

ward and cumbersome method of accomplishing the partial righting of the most glaring wrongs, but the writer does not seem to have even heard of the simple and effective remedy known as the Single Tax. That would be general in operation. It would free improvements from the burdens now laid upon them. It would give to the community the increasing land values due to its growth, and relieve both labor and capital from all taxes save those they would pay to the State in place of the ground rents that they now pay to landlords. Our English friends should seize every such utterance as that in the Weekly Bulletin as an opportunity for pointing out the true remedy.

We fear, from all that we see and hear, that the unfortunate phrase, "land nationalization," has stood in the way of practical progress in that country. It at once raises the question as to what kind of landlord the State would become, and time and effort are wasted in arguing about the performance of functions that there is no reason whatever for the State assuming. This was the condition in Australia before Mr. George's visit. There was a large body of people perfectly agreed with one another as to the wrong to be righted, but not united on any explicit plan as a remedy for the evils they denounced. There they were divided on the tariff question, also. Smaller but more compact Single Tax organizations were then formed, and ever since definite progress has been made toward specific results. In England there would be no division on the tariff question, and it ought to be easy to organize a Single Tax movement for definite work in behalf of the incorporation of the true system into English law, and proclaiming its method as well as its purpose by its very name. If this had been done long ago, London papers that clearly see the iniquity of existing wrongs would not be groping about for the true remedy. Single Tax is not so poetical as land nationalization, but it is far more explicit, and there is no danger that it will be misunderstood by friend or foe.

**DOING GREAT WORK.**—The Rockville, Ind., Tribune, calls itself "a pioneer Free Trade paper" and it is entitled to do so. It not only advocates Free Trade but it supports the Single Tax theory with intelligence and persistency. In an editorial on taxing railroads it calls the attention of the people to the fact that, under existing circumstances, any tax thus levied will certainly be collected from the people, in the way of increased charges, and it declares that the Single Tax is the true system of taxation.

Referring to the objection urged against the Single Tax by many farmers, it reminds them that "it has been only two short years since the majority of the farmers in the United States believed that a protective tariff benefited them more than all others." The quiet assumption that the majority of farmers no longer think so is doubtless justified by the Tribune's knowledge of the change of opinion that has taken place amongst voters in the agricultural districts. The Tribune also quotes *THE STANDARD*'s comments on the decision by the Supreme Court of Illinois, that coal under the surface is liable to assessment for taxation, and it says:

It might be added that if the surface of the United States were taxed according to the rental value put on it by population, the great cities which now get two-thirds of the wealth would be compelled to pay in proportion to their benefits, and the farmers who use land would be taxed lightest of all.

It is such papers as the Tribune, having a local circulation and reaching a large body of people holding varying views on taxation that are sure, in the long run, to make many converts, and we are glad to see that the Tribune is one of the numerous papers printing the matter weekly prepared for their use by the Single



**Tax National Committee.** No effort hitherto made in behalf of the Single Tax is more valuable than that which thus brings our principles regularly before a large number of readers in all parts of the country.

**CHEATING NEW YORK.**—The House Committee on the Census, at Washington, has adopted a report, prepared by Representative Sherman, of this State, refusing the recount asked for by the municipal authorities of New York City, and declaring that the city has failed to make out a case. Of course no one expected that the Census Committee of the present House would do justice to New York, but it adds insult to injury when we are told that a rural Congressman, representing the Lewis and Oneida Counties in this State, should have been made the instrument for doing this wrong to the city, and for cutting down the representation of this State in the Electoral College. New York City has no enemies more bitter, malignant and hopeless than the Republicans resident in it and their fellow-Republicans in the rural districts of this State. Nearly every Republican in this city is an actual enemy to the municipality. They oppose local self-government, and eagerly attempt to put upon our necks the yoke of the narrow partisans of the rural districts. This does not mean that the rural Democrats are disposed to be just to New York, but it shows the utter futility of attempting to combine with Republicans for any purpose of municipal reform in this city. If there were here a proper public spirit, every man in New York would so resent the injustice done it by Mr. Porter's count, and by this partisan committee, that the city would vote unanimously against the Republican party, and turn and do the same as to the Democratic party in State affairs, should its rural members seek to treat us with similar injustice. As it is, a third of our people never miss an opportunity to betray the city's interests and to justify every assault upon the rights of the municipality.

**SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY.**—The President has appointed Chas. Foster, of Fostorio, Ohio, and former Governor of that State, as Secretary of the Treasury, in place of Mr. Windom, deceased. It was taken for granted that Mr. Foster would be confirmed, without objection, but Senator Cameron, of Pennsylvania, objected, and at this writing the nomination is in the hands of a committee. The daily papers seem to have suddenly developed a considerable admiration for the ex-Governor of Ohio, though it was not manifest at any previous period in his career. He is in favor of the continuance of the existing silver coinage system and opposed to free coinage. The latter fact probably accounts for the frequent expressions of satisfaction with his nomination in the New York papers. In politics he has always been an unscrupulous machine man, while in his business relations he is intimately associated with those known in Ohio as "the Standard Oil Gang." We presume he is as good a Secretary of the Treasury as Mr. Harrison could induce to take the place, but there is certainly no reason why anybody should get enthusiastic over his appointment.

**RECIPROCITY WITH MEXICO.**—It is reported that as soon as Congress adjourns Mr. Blaine will begin negotiations for a reciprocity treaty with Mexico. All right. We do not imagine that much direct good will come out of the effort, but it will doubtless call forth glowing descriptions of the advantages open to the people of the United States through trade with the Republic to the south of us, and this will give a new impetus to the demand for Free Trade with still another country. Restricted reciprocity is a humbug, and even

full reciprocity by Congressional consent is a concession to the paternal Socialistic idea that underlies Socialism; but efforts in that direction educate people into an understanding of the fact that trade is a good thing, in the way of which no obstacle ought to be thrown. Blaine is about the last man to whom we should naturally look as an educator of the people in Free Trade ideas; but, then, we must remember the idea suggested by William Lloyd Garrison in his speech at Cooper Union in August, 1888, when he quoted Maria Weston Chapman's declaration: "The Lord sometimes makes use of instruments that I wouldn't touch with a boat-hook."

**THE COINAGE BILL KILLED.**—The report of the Coinage Committee of the House of Representatives (adopted by a vote of 8 to 4) against the pending bill for the free coinage of silver, practically disposes of that measure so far as the present Congress is concerned. Of course this will add to the present wrath of the free coinage men, but it will also give time to the people to consider whether or not they intend to arrest the progress they have made towards creating an efficient demand for the reduction of taxation by the substitution of a new issue of minor importance.

**SOUTH DAKOTA'S NEW SENATOR.**—James H. Kyle, who was elected United States Senator by the Legislature of South Dakota on the 16th inst., by a combination of Independent and Democratic votes, is a Congregational minister, who, up to the time that he joined the Farmers' movement, was an ardent Republican. It appears, however, that this fact is not likely to prove comforting to his former party, since he announced that he is an opponent of high tariff, and that he left the Republican party because of its recent attitude on the tariff question. He further says that if this fact had not been known he would never have received the votes of the Democratic members of the Legislature. If this is the case, the South Dakota Democrats showed that they cared more for Democratic principles than for a mere party name when they abandoned their caucus candidate and voted for a low tariff man. A low tariff man is always better than a high tariff man, and a no tariff man is better than either.

**MR. GEORGE'S HEALTH.**—Mr. George has been having a most enjoyable time in Bermuda and has, we are told, added bicycling to sailing as one of his amusements. He has so completely recovered his health that he contemplates returning home in about two weeks, though his physician and friends urge him to remain in Bermuda long enough to escape the horrible March weather in New York.

**TALMAGE ON RECIPROCITY.**—That curious person, Dr. Talmage, of Brooklyn, gushed in a characteristic way recently over the reciprocity treaty with Brazil. He called the roll of the republics of South and Central America, and prophesied that all of them would speedily follow in the footsteps of Brazil. He said this would make every dollar now invested in American manufactures worth ten dollars; that it would set going all the mills from Maine to Alabama, day and night, with two sets of hands, and put all men now out of employment at work at good wages. In his own peculiarly Christian way Dr. Talmage rejoiced that we shall take all this trade from Great Britain, and he declared that the Brazil treaty is but the beginning. So it is, and a very poor beginning so far as probable direct results are concerned, but in the end Free Trade, without the aid of treaties, will be established with all the world, and the benefits and blessings of that trade will be enjoyed by all who participate in it. Under such



circumstances American laborers will be benefited, whereas, were the result likely to be that predicted by this erratic preacher, the poor thrown out of work in Great Britain would surely come here to compete with American labor for meeting any new demand for our products made by the South American republics.

**A N ERROR IN DATE.**—On account of a most provoking blunder the first page of the cover on last week's STANDARD was dated February 11, instead of February 18. The inside pages were properly dated, but no change was made in either date or number on the page to which people naturally look. Fortunately the error will not affect those who preserve the paper for binding, since not only the number and date, but the paging was accurate. This paging, by the way, will prove of great convenience to those who bind the paper. Each number is still paged from one to twenty, as has been the custom in the past, but opposite these figures is also given the page of the volume, so that when an index is prepared the page numbers will run consecutively through the bound volume. The cover is numbered separately, so that it can be torn off, and then the paging will run regularly. See this number as an example. The first page, containing the title, is not numbered, but page 2 is also numbered volume IX., 142, and page 20, volume IX., 160. The first numbers are convenient for current use, and the latter, or volume pages, are of equal convenience in the bound volumes.

**RAPID TRANSIT.**—The New York Times of Tuesday prints a letter from its London correspondent, Harold Frederic, which describes minutely the Greathead system of deep underground railways, the first application of which has been highly successful in London. If the system be all that Mr. Frederic says, it would easily be applicable to rapid transit in the city of New York, and would have the enormous advantage of making it easy to disregard the two rivers that now separate New York from New Jersey and Long Island, since the tunnel is so deep that it would easily go below the river beds, and that with perfect safety and the assurance of proper ventilation.

**THE WIDOW'S MITE.**—Words cannot describe the awful misery of the London poor during the unusually cold Winter that England has had this year. The simple recital of cases of hardship in the London papers are too horrible for needless repetition. Philanthropic people are doing their utmost to alleviate the misery, but all such efforts are inadequate. It must be very gratifying to the English people to find that the Queen is not oblivious of all this suffering, and their hearts must have bounded with pride when they read the other day that this widow in straitened circumstances had sent twenty pheasants to one of the London hospitals. Now let Shoreditch and Whitechapel, Spitalfields and Bethnal Green join in singing "God Save the Queen."

**THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM ON SUNDAYS.**—A World reporter has discovered that the Metropolitan Museum of Art in Central Park, though closed to the public, is open on Sundays to friends of the trustees, who have had the good fortune to receive admission tickets. This museum is built on public ground, confessedly the property of the people of New York, and it is maintained largely by public funds. Its management and control, however, are in the hands of trustees not appointed by the people. These trustees have repeatedly refused to open the institution on Sundays, basing their refusal on their alleged regard for the "holy Sabbath."

How do they reconcile this with the partial opening of the Museum on Sundays to their personal friends? By what sort of reasoning do they justify the employment of a number of attendants, paid by the city, on duty in the building on Sundays on account of the "private view," permitted on those days? In view of this disclosure the pretence on which the museum has been closed to the public on Sundays must be regarded as mere hypocritical cant.

**A SOCIALIST PAPER.**—The Evening World appears to have openly embraced Socialism. Under the heading "The World of Labor," in its issue of February 19, it dogmatically declares, in somewhat remarkable English, that "the nationalization of land alone would not prevent Plutocracy to (sic) absorb the larger part of all wealth created by labor and nature," and it goes on to say that, "to save the workmen from being robbed, the machinery of production and distribution must be nationalized also." Of course THE STANDARD takes issue with this statement, and insists that neither machinery nor any other product of labor can be permanently monopolized under conditions that give all men free access to natural opportunities, and it will be glad to show the Evening World why this is so if it has not become so wedded to Socialism that it is not open to conviction on the subject.

#### "CAPITALISM."

"I have never had a serious doubt," writes a friend, "since reading 'Progress and Poverty,' ten years or more ago, that George's policy of taxation would yet be the accepted one among all civilized peoples. Among several great reforms I am free to admit the land reform easily leads them all, and yet I am sometimes quite provoked to hear Single Taxers claim for it the righting of all industrial wrongs." He has been a constant reader of THE STANDARD since the first volume. Yet, in regard to "capitalism," he says: "That the Single Tax and Free Trade would have some effect to mitigate the evils of these combines, I could readily admit, but to claim that the small proprietor could enter the lists with fair show of success is quite gratuitous and far-fetched, to say the least."

The title of the tract giving Henry George's San Francisco speech is "Justice the Object, Taxation the Means." As I understand it, the underlying principle of the Single Tax is "equal rights to all; special privileges to none." A correspondent of THE STANDARD in 1887 wrote: "Let it be remembered that the whole theory of taxing people for the privilege of living under civil government, and for the privilege of pursuing a livelihood for the necessary support of themselves and their children, or for any other natural right, privilege or immunity, is false and iniquitous from its very inception and throughout its entire extent. The one true and only ground and reason for the taxation of a citizen is the simple necessity of running the machinery of Government, and paying for its utilities."

Taxes are the price of government. I think that Single Taxers will agree with me when I say that the Government—local, State and national—alone has the right to tax, and should never delegate any power of taxation to any one or number of citizens. For to do so is to grant "special" privileges. Then the Single Tax principle is that the power to tax should never be delegated to any one or number of individuals, but should always be reserved to the Government alone, and that it should never be applied to anything but land values.

The power of the railroads "to oppress" is a taxing power coming from the exercise of the right of eminent domain. The true solution is Government ownership of roadbeds, free to the use of all, as are our wagon roads,



and maintained by taxation of the thereby enhanced land values.

The patent right is a delegated power to tax, which is a large element in the monopoly power of manufacturers. The solution is a royalty to the inventor, not the manufacturer, on every machine made, and freedom for all to make it. The Government should never give any one the exclusive right to use any knowledge of the forces of nature—our common inheritance—but should reward discovery by royalties or pensions for such invention.

The issuing of money is solely a Government function, and is a taxing power, and should never be farmed out.

As I understand it, therefore, the Single Tax principle not only necessitates the raising of all revenues by a tax on land values, but the total abolition of all powers to tax for the enrichment of any individual or set of individuals, and so includes all the above named reforms. Now, if the Government alone exercises the taxing power, and that solely for the purpose of paying its running expenses, and applies it only to land values, no man or set of men can use capital to tax others. Land holding will be limited to use, there being no profit to be had except from use. For to leave any profit from mere ownership, is to leave a taxing power in the individual's hand.

Land holding being limited to use, there will *always* be opportunities for *all* men to employ themselves and freely exchange their products with others. That will make wages the full product of labor. When men are free to produce and free to exchange, few will be willing to work for others, and that few will not work for much less than they could make employing themselves. How, then, could the large proprietor drive out the small one? He could have no advantage over the small one in the way of a patent monopoly, a land monopoly, a money or other monopoly, and he could compel no one to give him more than a fair exchange of products.

Man, being born with a naturally independent spirit, would usually prefer to be his own employer—his own “boss”—and “Capitalism” would find nothing to feed on. The manufacturer could get nothing but the wages of superintendence. For when all can freely produce and freely exchange, who would care to borrow—to go in debt—and thus become servant to another? The labor problem would be solved, and there would exist that “state of society where all who wish to work can get work in abundance,” and the distribution of wealth would be: to the State, ground rent in the form of taxes, and to the producer, wages. When wages in all occupations rise to the maximum, employers of large numbers of men will no longer have a great advantage over small proprietors. “All industrial wrongs” will be righted, and “the Single Tax will do it.”

W. E. BROKAW.

#### A SINGLE TAX PROFESSOR.

CHICAGO, Ill., February 18, 1891.—In his speech at Saratoga last Fall, Professor Seligman, of Columbia College, pointed to the supposed fact that no professor of political economy had advocated the Single Tax, as an indication that it must be unsound. But even at that moment there was in print a pamphlet from the pen of James H. Canfield, formerly professor of political economy, and now professor of American history and civics at the Kansas State University, in which the Single Tax is distinctly advocated. The pamphlet is entitled “Taxation; a Plain Talk for Plain People,” and is published by the Putnams. It is well worth a careful study by both Single Tax men and their opponents. Professor Seligman particularly has a capacity for deriving great benefit from it. It may be well to remark of Professor Canfield, lest he might be considered of no particular account (professorially speaking), because he officiates at a college where the scholastic cap and gown are not in use, that he is quite as familiar with the head-spinning school of German political economists, professors of “public finance” included, as is

Professor Seligman, and more familiar with such common things as arithmetic and practical book-keeping.

In his pamphlet Professor Canfield considers two questions: First. What shall be our method of taxation? Second. What shall we tax?

As to method, he defines direct and indirect taxation as “straight” and “crooked,” and after noticing the significant fact that in all local taxation we have adhered to the straight method, and have adopted crooked taxation only in national affairs, which are so remote from the average citizen as to make general knowledge of its operations impossible, he rejects the latter because it promotes hasty and unwise legislation, and fails to meet any of the four great canons which govern taxation. Turning, then, to his second question: On what shall the “straight” tax fall? he considers in turn the various taxes that have ever been applied or considerably suggested.

Having condemned all forms of individual taxation because they are levied with little or no regard to the ability of the payer, he divides the remaining sources of revenue into “productions” and “accumulations.” Theoretically, that which falls upon productions is the most equitable tax, but in practice it has always been unfair and demoralizing. For this apparent conflict between theory and practice he gives several reasons, which may be summarized in the explanation that productions being generally personal property, a tax upon them is open to all the objections of a personal property tax.

Hence, he is led to consider taxes on accumulations, which likewise fail to meet with favor. It is a penalty on frugality, and punishes a man for wise forethought. It is a recurring tax, the dollar saved to-day being repeatedly taxed until wholly confiscated, unless by investment in some way it is made self-protecting. Besides these objections to a tax on accumulations he finds others that apply also to taxation of incomes and production. The system is very expensive. It involves inquisitorial processes. It duplicates taxation by falling upon both credits and the property which they represent. It fosters inaccuracy, injustice and open dishonesty and perjury, and thereby multiplies the burdens of those who have already been disproportionately taxed—the farmer and the mechanic, the widow and the orphan. It adds to the cost of property in use and increases the burdens of borrowers. It is in conflict with the principle that “of those things which are of value to the State, we should never tax any that we wish to come to us, or any that could or would run away.” And it is impossible to make it a success; though tried from the earliest times and under all possible conditions, it has always failed.

After this conclusion, the only object of taxation left for Professor Canfield's consideration is land. This, he explains, he holds till the last, “because the arguments in its favor need to be more carefully considered, and because a system of taxation on land alone is as yet untried, and therefore has no precedent from which we may judge of its workings. Every position assumed for it must be judged by the reason alone, and from the weight of argument and illustration must we determine whether it is worth a trial.” The land tax he defines as “a tax laid on land assessed at the value of strictly unimproved lands in the immediate vicinity;” and that he may not be understood as including improvements of any kind, he argues that improvements are enough of the nature of personal property to make all arguments against personal taxation applicable to them.

Entering, then, fully upon a consideration of the land tax as he defines it, he shows to begin with that it “tends to discourage all land speculations.” If it costs as much to own land covered with “cockles as with crops,” the crops will be growing on every foot of wild land or it “will remain on the hands of the State waiting for a home-builder rather than a speculator.” A similar effect will be produced with city lots. “The lots standing at the same figures, it will always be simply a choice between a pigpen or a palace with all the chances in favor of the palace.” Furthermore, all land would be at a low price, there would be no investments without improvements; improvements would be stimulated in every direction; and “the vast estates which have been multiplying on every hand, creating a patrician class, and already threatening us with all the evils of absenteeism and with an army of landlords would melt away.” The differences between a land tax and a tax on either production or accumulation, which Professor Canfield selects as most marked, are as follows: Land is always in sight and cannot be hidden, while in a thousand and one ways personal property may conveniently disappear about assessment time; land may be assessed without inquisitorial process, and “as to values it would be almost impossible for any well-informed man to go astray;” the cost of assessment would be lower in the case of land, for “one assessor could do all the work in an agricultural county and find time hang heavy on his hands at that,” and the county force would be reduced to a minimum; the land tax would not hamper production, but quicken it, because every farmer would be interested in placing on his land the largest possible amount of remunerative personal property, and as the tax on accumulations was removed the



desire to accumulate would receive new energy; no matter how high the tax might be it could not affect the number of acres in existence, nor the powers nor qualities of land, nor the inducement to use land except as this was heightened by an increased demand for all that land could produce or sustain." It will be noted by Single Taxers that the Professor travels over familiar ground in familiar words. And this he did seven years ago. In the pamphlet will be found a useful statement of the proportion of taxes borne as between New York and Kansas, which I quote in full. It must be remembered that the figures are only such as were accessible in 1883. The statement is as follows:

Kansas has about 25,000,000 acres of taxable land. The actual average value of such portions of this as are unimproved is not far from \$3 per acre. That would place our assessment at \$75,000,000; to this our cities, on the basis of unimproved lots, would add about \$5,000,000, making a total of \$80,000,000. New York has about 30,000,000 acres. The average value of unimproved land cannot be less than \$20 per acre; this makes New York's assessment \$600,000,000. Her cities would add not less than \$6,000,000,000, or a total assessment of \$6,600,000,000. This would levy on New York a tax more than eighty times as large as that of Kansas.

To-day we pay in proportion to the amount we eat, drink, wear and use. We have 1,000,000 people. New York about 5,000,000. Of the latter a large class are very poor, the great mass of day laborers and mechanics of the cities. All things considered it is hardly possible that the people of New York spend on an average, for such things as make returns to the national treasury, more than twice the average similar individual expenditure in Kansas. New York, then, to-day pays but ten times the amount contributed by Kansas.

In explaining the reasons for the strange choice by our national government of the crooked method of taxations, the Professor classifies them as, first, the influence of precedent, and second, "profound distrust of the people," and in enlarging upon the second reason the true democratic spirit which animates the man asserts itself. He says:

Struggle against the conviction as we may, all historical research shows us that in the days of the struggle for the constitution and during the years in which our financial affairs were being adjusted to the new basis, very little was known of a democratic republic. Any well drawn picture of American life during the last fifteen years of the eighteenth century, will show in sharp outline the aristocratic thought which permeated both social and civil affairs. It had marked colonial life in every detail—even in the retention of old English classic names; and though the Revolution had been a great leveller, as far as appellations were concerned, the spirit remained. Hamilton and Jay and the Adamses—even Washington—had very vague ideas of a government of and by the people. This distrust of the people, therefore, was the second reason for the choice of indirect taxation.

And this distrust in its double character—ability to understand and willingness to respond—is at the bottom of the retention of the system to-day. We may talk about convenience of collection and ease of payment as much as we please: the real reason is that we dare not face the people with the truth. A member of the Kansas delegation said to me not long since: "I don't believe in letting the people know. The people are captious. Charge them so much for their dinner and they pay without a murmur. Give them a bill of fare with prices attached—European plan—and they are sure to kick at some item and refuse the dish. I believe in the American plan—so much per day and none of their business how the money goes." I assert now as then that such a doctrine is pernicious in theory and infamous in practice. If this Republic is to hold together it will be because of the strong and practical participation of the common people in every department of government. Wealth cannot save us, culture cannot save us, so-called leaders cannot save us, partisan success cannot save us. Popular intelligence, popular political intelligence, is the only safeguard and the only promise of perpetuity. Responsibility is the great educator. So far as the people of this country have felt this responsibility they have responded well. In most political questions they have been for more than half a century about ten years ahead of those who have arrogated leadership. They can be trusted—they must be trusted—with every detail of the government. It is a flat contradiction to the very essence of our civil system to relegate governing to some favored class, or to attempt to succeed by cajolery and deceit.

Professor Canfield was reared in Brooklyn, where he graduated from the Polytechnic Institute. He is an alumnus of Williams College. By education a lawyer, his experience in making a living covers the field of day laborer, clerk, practising lawyer, practical railroad operator, banker and teacher. Incidentally he is a successful lecturer on subjects related to the upper level of politics, and has been secretary and president both of the Kansas and of the National Teachers' Associations, the latter being perhaps the largest deliberative body in the world. Commanding the respect and confidence of all classes of people in the State of his adoption, he is a man of wide influence there, and might have succeeded Ingalls in the United States Senate had he been willing to canvass for an election. A college professor of high standing, his practical knowledge, to say nothing of his superior natural qualities, place him far above the ordinary college professor of high standing, to whom education is merely a process of drawing in book learning, and whose experience is confined to self-communings in his closet. Professor Canfield is an educated man, an experienced man, a thoughtful man, a bold man and a careful man.

LOUIS F. POST.

## THE FADDER.

Every paper I look at, whether it be morning, evening, extra or Sunday, is filled with accounts of the starving poor and the vast fortunes of the rich. Now this has set me thinking. All the poor are useful as laborers and producers of wealth, but the rich appear to have no place in this world, and if we are to trust Scripture their lot in the next is even more unenviable. They won't work, because labor, except as a director of labor, is disgraceful, but they will kill themselves at polo, and exhibit pluck and endurance in other ways that are of no earthly or heavenly use. It seems, as the laws of this and all other countries now stand, we must support this crop of useless money bags. Why not make use of them! They are easily governed; more easily than any other set of men alive. Let us make a new office in our municipal and State governments. Of course this new officer must be paid more than the president, but even then we will save money by it, for he will be the Fadder—that is, one whose business it is to start the fads for our gilded colonial dames, and other wealthy descendants of Hessians and Tories.

We are now systematically exterminating all animals not found in the barn-yard, stable or coop (except the English sparrow). Many of these creatures are excellent articles of food, many others make good beasts of burden, and still others furnish rare materials for industrial and art work. Now the Fadder starts the fad of domesticating and breeding the moose. He even appears within the park drawn by a pair of these useful, high-stepping and swift-going animals, accompanied by some society leader. Presto! the moose begins to increase. Moose farms start like magic, tandem moose teams or four-in-hand sleighs become the rage, the animal is saved from extinction. There is added another source of food and another beast of burden to the stable and farm.

Next he starts the fad of using rattlesnake skins for belts for ladies and for purses and pocket books, with a fringe of rattles for ornament. In two years' time the rattlesnake is exterminated and our beautiful, useful little humming birds have a chance by breeding to swell their depleted ranks: our tern no longer fear the dreaded plume hunter's gun and are again busy with the gulls and other persecuted useful creatures cleaning our harbors and bays of the refuse which pollutes the waters.

The Fadder next starts a buffalo ranch and has a buffalo show at Madison Garden, with prizes for the best team of buffalo oxen, for the finest bull, the fattest buffalo steer. The magic word fad will again save another useful and typical American beast from extermination, and add another useful animal to the farm.

Then we can let our gilded drones get drunk and drive their four-in-hands off the bridges, as is so graphically described by Mr. Ward McAllister. We can laugh when the liveried outriders come swinging home hanging to the horns of their steed, while the coachman, driving a four-in-hand of zebras, sways back and forward upon his seat because these hirelings have taken time by the forelock and swallowed all the wines intended for their master's over-abused stomach, as in the case so poetically related by the same author. We can laugh because we have made them of use. The Fadder is there, and while he may enter somewhat into these orgies, he so directs them that some new and useful fad will be the outcome of each gathering of the former drones. We can smile as we pay our landlord his princely tax for the privilege of occupying fifteen by ten feet of space in the business portion of town in which to earn our living, because when we pay the enforced tax we know it will be expended in some manner useful to mankind, and we, through the office of the Fadder, have all become philanthropists.

In the end maybe the efforts of the Fadder may have so enlarged the eye of the needle that we may even have the pleasure of seeing some of our rich men's yachts floating on the Jasper Sea with a swarm of little cherubims blowing to fill the graceful sails.

Flushing, L. I.

DAN BEARD.

## THE SERVANT GIRL NO MORE MENIAL.

Apropos of Kenneth Cranford's recent article, I well remember my good democratic grandmother clapping her hands with glee on hearing that some of her neighbors were without domestic help, their girls having left them. "Good," said she; "thank God; I hope they will have to work their hands off. They don't know how to treat girls. They don't deserve to have any."

Calling on our Presbyterian pastor in his studio the other evening, I found in session a church council, among whom was a pillar, General P. I was politely requested to stay, and after the business was done I took out of my pocket the masterly speech of Edward Osgood Brown, of Chicago, on Democracy and Equality, in which this question of the servant girl is handled, as in Mr. C.'s article, from the real not sham, from the true and not mock or mawkish, Christian standpoint. By general consent I commenced reading from the speech, and went on without any dissent till I came to this part on the servant girl, when the General broke out, "That man is a crank," and taking his hat stalked off, tall and sublime.

Verily, as Mr. Cranford says, our reform includes all others. Liberty, equality, fraternity, mean free men, Free Trade, free land



and upon the masses, the intelligent men and women, the great work is laid. Nothing, says our leader, will fully interest men unless it also interests women. There are those who say that women are less intelligent than men; but who will say that they are less influential? Belleville, Ill. N. NILES.

## NOTES AND QUERIES.

Our protectionist friends are strangely averse to discussing the tariff question. Ever since the last election they have tried to push it one side on the ground that it has been settled until the next campaign, despite the fact that people on all sides are talking about nothing else. The last prominent protectionist to take this view is Brother Depew. He was a guest at the annual dinner of the Rochester Chamber of Commerce last Monday evening, and he followed Mr. Tait, who, in speaking to a toast for unrestricted commerce between this country and Canada, made a powerful appeal for unrestricted reciprocity. Depew's toast—"The Day and the Occasion"—bore no relation to the previous toast, but he saw the enthusiasm the previous speaker's words evoked, and he attempted, protectionist like, to break the force of what Mr. Tait had said by saying, "The tariff will not be a practical question for two years." Mr. Depew made his entire speech on this line and never responded to his toast at all. There are none so blind as those who will not see, and the protectionists seem to be especially blind nowadays.

\* \* \* \*

A story just started will give Congressman-elect Jere Simpson, of Kansas, a wide reputation for repartee. While he was at the Capitol at Washington Monday a pretty woman thus addressed him: "Is it true that you don't wear socks, Mr. Simpson? Won't you let me see, please?" "Madam," replied Mr. Simpson, gravely, "I'm a believer in reciprocity. Do you wear socks? If you'll show me yours I'll show you mine?"

\* \* \* \*

A little later in the day Mr. Simpson was introduced to Henry Cabot Lodge, and unexpectedly said to him: "Are you the author of the—the—" "The iniquitous Force bill?" interrupted Mr. Lodge. "That's it," said Simpson: "The iniquitous Force bill! I'm agin it!" Mr. Simpson has been in Washington a little over two weeks, and the national legislators are showing an increased respect for him every day.

\* \* \* \*

The publishers of Belford's Magazine will present with each copy of the March edition a cartoon on the tariff question by Dan Beard. The picture represents a fat old fellow seated in a sedan chair. He is carefully protected from the inclemency of the weather by glass windows, and he is carried by two workingmen, who are staggering under the heavy burden. Dan Beard illustrated Mark Twain's "Yankee at King Arthur's Court," which is a sufficient guarantee of the excellence of his forthcoming picture. The cartoon is printed by a new French process, which makes it appear like a water-color picture, and is handsome enough to be framed and hung on parlor walls.

\* \* \* \*

Henry R. Beekman, ex-corporation counsel, says that a bill will have to be passed, eventually, compelling people to go to the polls and vote on election day.

\* \* \* \*

The last mail from Australia brings us the Democrat, published in Sidney, N. S. W. It is out and out Single Tax paper, and each number received contains a first page illustration on the Single Tax. THE STANDARD wishes it success.

\* \* \* \*

The American Protective League of this city reports that 140 new members were added to its roll last month. On the other hand, the thousands of men who are being converted to Free Trade by the Single Taxers have no organization to go to. The best they can find is tariff reform clubs.

\* \* \* \*

J. T. O'Neile, of Brooklyn, says: "I am delighted with the new shape of THE STANDARD, and wish you and the paper a hearty God-speed in the work in which you are engaged."

\* \* \* \*

The habit of laying the burden of taxes on the small property owners and householders, and letting the great landlords escape, seems to have become a sort of second nature to the legislators and assessors of Great Britain. Under the Small Holdings Acts many moderately circumstanced people in the country districts have recently been induced to purchase small plots of land. No sooner are the great farms cut up into small holdings than the owners of the small holdings are assessed for local expenses at from four to eight times the acre valuation of the large farms. The increase in the assessment has been so great in some cases that the small owners say they will have to give up their allotments.

\* \* \* \*

Even the German Emperor is finding that Protection is a nuisance

and against the interests of his people. A cable dispatch to the Staats Zeitung says that in the course of a talk at the Chancellor's dinner the other day, "the Emperor startled his listeners by declaring that he was out of patience with the attitude assumed by the iron and coal industries. 'It is naturally the duty of the State,' he said, 'to protect domestic industries; but special favor to special interests is impossible. *Suum cuique!*' " "*Suum cuique*" is the principle of absolute Free Trade—"Let each have his own."

\* \* \* \*

English society, according to the London Star, has decided that the word "lady" is to be expunged from its lexicon of polite conversation, and the good, old-fashioned "woman" is to take its place. A sweeping reform, moreover, is to be effected in the manner of addressing young ladies—we should say young women—by correspondence. The prefix, "Miss," must be rigorously eschewed. If you want to write to Miss Cassandra Lionhunter, you must address her on the cover of your letter as "Cassandra Lionhunter."

\* \* \* \*

In the Baltimore Sun of the 18th inst. Dr. William N. Hill suggests that a compromise be made by the free silver coinageists and the monometalists on the "goloid" basis, which was offered to Congress by William Wheeler Hubbard in 1879. Mr. Hubbard then proposed that a coin dollar, consisting of 76.5 centigrams of pure gold, 11.835 grains of pure silver and 1.4 grams of copper should be adopted as the standard. Alexander H. Stephens was strongly in favor of the plan, and experts said that it was the handsomest and most durable coin that could be made.

\* \* \* \*

In answer to the question, "What is the feeling on the tariff question among the Alliance men whom you meet in your travels?" W. E. Brokaw, of South Dakota, has this to say:

You must remember that so far I have done most of my work in this (Minnehaha) county. This is a large county, and contains the largest city in the State—Sioux Falls. The Republicans made a clean sweep here last Fall. Well, the president of the County Alliance, John O. Langness, is a member of the Baltic Single Tax Club. The Secretary of the County Alliance, C. A. Soderberg, is an outspoken Single Tax man—he was formerly Secretary of the Farmers' Alliance. Henry W. Smith is a large farmer and prominent in the State Alliance. He was prominent before the Independent Convention last Summer as a candidate for Congress. He is opposed to Protection and inclined to the Single Tax. E. J. Tracy is a prominent one in this county, and much impressed by the Single Tax. Since leaving Watertown I have met A. V. Van Doren, J. R. Lowe and Frank Leavitt: all Alliance organizers. The former is a straight Free Trader. Second (1st V. P. of State Alliance) not posted, but is reading "P. & P," and has ordered "Protection or Free Trade?" and the last, who ran for Congress on Independent ticket last Fall, is a Free Trade, Single Tax, Nationalist. J. W. Harden, who was a candidate for United States Senator, is a Free Trader, and said to me that he could see that the Single Tax would benefit the farmer. His wife is secretary-treasurer of the State Alliance, and he is an organizer. H. L. Loucks, president of the State Association, believes in only the land-value tax and a graduated income tax. Z. D. Scott, State Senator from Grant County, and formerly Treasurer of Territorial Alliance, thinks the Single Tax would benefit farmers, but considers the money question more important. I hear very few talk "tariff reform;" it is either "Protection" or "Free Trade." Most of the Alliance men appear to be Free Traders. My straight Free Trade arguments and ridicule of "Protection" generally call forth applause everywhere I speak. The "G. O. P." is tottering into its grave in South Dakota. We will cover it up, and let the grass grow in '92.

\* \* \* \*

Professor Ashley, of the Toronto University, has come out against the Single Tax. The Toronto Labor Advocate does not blame him; it says that "that is what he's paid for." "If he were to declare in favor of the Single Tax," says the Advocate, "he would probably lose his position, and he would find it very difficult to find another equally good. His bread and butter depend upon his teaching such social doctrines as are in the interests of his paymasters, the privileged class." The Advocate closes an editorial on the matter, saying that the professor is a high-minded man, and that "the mental bondage in which he now finds himself must be even more intolerable than wage slavery."

\* \* \* \*

The United States Senator-elect for South Dakota, Rev. Dr. Kyle, says that in politics he is an "Inde-cratt." He used to be a Republican, but the McKinley Tariff Bill was too much for him and he became a Democrat because he was in favor of tariff reform. The Alliance movement attracted him and he joined it—hence "Inde-cratt."

\* \* \* \*

The New York Evening News wants the American flag to again become numerous on the ocean, and says it can be brought about in this way:

Take the duties off raw materials, open the markets of the world to us, remove the miserable restrictions upon our navigation laws, give American industries a fair field with American mechanics in the van, and in less than a generation our shipyards will be humming again and our flag be floating on every sea.

We hereby welcome the News into the true Democratic fold.



## CURRENT THOUGHT.

## HISTORY OF A GREAT STRIKE.

"The Crushing Defeat of Trade-Unionism in Australia" is the title of an article by H. H. Champion in the February issue of the *Nineteenth Century*. Mr. Champion thinks that the dock strike of Melbourne last year is the greatest battle between labor and capital that our generation has seen, and he believes that it was decisive as to the settlement of the issues involved. He pictures the society of Melbourne, where every man's father worked with his hands; where there is practically no leisure class; where the absence of poverty obviates the necessity of a poor law; where every politician trembles at the labor vote; and where trade unions, by the aid of economic conditions, have been able to maintain the eight-hour day; and where there are few police; where the army is almost naught. Then, he says, suppose that in such a society labor leaders, implicitly obeyed, deliberately enter into conflict with employers, obtain vast sums in aid of their cause, coerce politicians into wrecking a ministry, and yet at the end of three months are confessedly beaten on the point at issue. Such was the spectacle, says Mr. Champion, and he advises workingmen that look to this unionism for deliverance to take to heart the lesson.

Mr. Champion finds the cause of war in the intent, long entertained by trade unions, to establish the non-union wool boycott, that is to drive sheep-shearers into the union by having members of maritime unions refuse to load wool shorn by non-union shearers. The result was a combination on the part of employers, and the first aggressive action was taken by the employers when the Shipowners' Association refused to consider the grievances of employees so long as they were affiliated with the Trades Hall conference and under the influence of the unions of seamen. Shearers, mostly the sons of small farmers, earn from £12 to £30 in the three or four weeks of the shearing season, and Mr. Champion thinks they might reasonably be satisfied with such wages. W. G. Spence, within three years, organized the majority of these pastoral nomads into unions. But he made the mistake of permitting recruits to be obtained by coercion. Then came the culmination in the attempt to force all non-union shearers in by means of the boycott upon non-union wool at shipping points. The inherent weakness of democracy, in that it is liable to weak and unscrupulous leadership, Mr. Champion holds responsible for the mistakes of the unions. Enthusiasm has cost the Australian workmen close on to £2,000,000 in wages in the course of a couple of months. The enthusiasts would hear no argument against the wool boycott; the boycott was ordered by the seamen, and trade councils of different colonies cheerfully gave support. The sheep owners, "pastoralists," Mr. Champion calls them, combined in turn, and presently the employers' union was enjoying an income equivalent to £80,000 per year, and a defense fund of £400,000, and that without coercion. Almost the first act of the employers' union was to confer with Spence with a view to making peace. They failed to agree and the employers prepared for battle. The employees did not. They made speeches. The shipping companies also prepared for war. Then came the dismissal of one Mason from a ship of the Tasmanian Steam Navigation Company. Mason had complained of his tea. The Seamen's Union tried to have Mason reinstated. The company was willing that he should be employed on one of their other vessels, but this was not satisfactory to the union, and the latter forbade Mason to accept any but his old place. Then the Marine Officers' Union demanded higher pay, and at about the same time this union affiliated with the Trades Hall Council. When the Steamship Owners' Association learned this it refused to comply with the demand of the union. At this time 10 per cent. of the members in twenty unions belonging to the Trades Hall Council were unemployed. After fruitless negotiations, the ship owners refused to employ men who should continue to affiliate with the Trades Hall Council. Then the officers were called from the ships by their unions. They were at once replaced, but seamen refused to sail with non-union officers. Shipping was paralyzed, but other trades were as yet little affected.

Mr. Champion, who says that the strike leaders accuse him of being the cause of their failure, tells his side of the story. He reached Melbourne August 12, 1890, and on August 22 was warmly received at a meeting of the Trades Hall Council. On August 26 he attended a meeting of the Employers' Union, and was struck by the moderation of the speeches and the enthusiasm of the audience. He went away convinced that the men had underrated the magnitude of their task. He read up the history of Australian labor troubles from 1886, and while he was still reading he was asked to address a meeting of 50,000 persons, called together by the strike leaders. His speech justified refusal to work with non-union men, but condemned violence. A few days later, having finished his reading of contemporary history, he resolved that only plain speaking could save the union men from disaster. On September 6 he published a long statement counseling a surrender of the "impossible claims of the strike committee." One of the most prominent mem-

bers of the committee could find no fault with his proposed settlement, but they preferred to fight it out on the chance of obtaining better terms. They did fight it out, and two months later surrendered unconditionally.

Mr. Champion thinks the sympathetic strike of the gas workers one of the worst blunders on the part of the Union. It set public opinion against the men. It was a war against non-combatants. In Victoria the Government took prompt measures, and the gas supply in the cities was not long interfered with. Then the strikers attempted to stop the coal supply. The coal miners quit work, and then an inter-colonial trade council sought to induce shearers all over Australia to quit work, and so destroy a wool clip valued at £20,000,000. Only half the shearers were in the Union, and the effect was to make enemies of those sheep owners that had countenanced the Union. About this time, one Edwards, in Sydney, without authority from the other colonies, telegraphed labor unions in England for a loan of £20,000, on the plea that it would insure victory. Mr. Chapman thought it his duty to give notice that the loan could not repair the mischief done. Soon after the miners investigated the question and returned to work. Then the other Unions followed suit as fast as they could. Hancock, chairman of the Strike Committee, informed a meeting of strikers, at Melbourne, on November 13, that the strike was at an end, and advised his hearers to make the best terms possible.

Mr. Champion thinks that this strike proves that a gigantic federation of labor must be handled with greater strategic ability than that shown in Australia, if it is not to fail in the face of determined federated employers: that employers can successfully combine against employees, and that a community of British descent draws the line firmly at the idea "that any power outside of Parliament should coerce a man into striking, and has no sympathy with methods forbidden by law."

## AN INDIVIDUALIST'S PROTEST.

Samuel Williams Cooper talks in the *Popular Science Monthly* for March of "The Tyranny of the State." The duties of the individual to society, thinks he, become every day more numerous and burdensome. The laws fill thousands of volumes. The taking of human life and the throwing of paper in the street are alike punishable as crimes. If men gather on the corner to discuss public events and raise their voices in the debate a policeman moves them on, and if they go too slowly uses his club. Should one become disgusted with his condition as a citizen and attempt to end his misery by suicide, if caught in time he may be punished as a criminal. Amid all this law-making the nature and limit of the authority imposing such obligations is a question seldom stated. Meanwhile, personal liberty and the rights of property are daily violated in the name of law. In monarchies the maxim is that the king can do no wrong, but the United States Supreme Court has declared that this doctrine has no place in American jurisprudence; but the same court has held that the sovereign power is free from all legal duties. The United States pays no interest on debts recovered through judgment in the Court of Claims, and yet it demands its own with interest of insolvent debtors, and that before any other creditor can claim a penny. Prohibitory and high license laws have time and again taken the property of the individual, wrecked his life and ruined his business, all without redress. The United States Supreme Court has sustained such enactments. The legislation aimed at oleomargarine is of a like unjustifiable character. To produce cheap and wholesome food should be encouraged rather than punished.

Mr. Cooper concedes the right of eminent domain, but demands full compensation for its exercise. He is outraged, however, at the frequent repudiation of bonds by the State. He is impressed, too, with the injustice of varying divorce laws in different States. The case of the innocent person tried on a criminal charge also calls forth Mr. Cooper's protest. Even the real criminal, he thinks, in large part a result of the evil condition of surrounding society. The State, however, neglects the duty of reforming him, and is content ordinarily with the punishment of isolation. Most States guard the freedom of conscience in matters religious, yet refuse to receive the testimony of an atheist. Police raids disregard the constitutional safe-guard against unlawful search and seizure.

Mr. Cooper sums up his views in his closing paragraphs. He thinks that the value of existence to the citizen depends upon the restraints placed on the actions of other people. We have replaced the tyranny of monarchs by the tyranny of majorities. The remedy, he thinks, is to make the State the subject of suit in all cases where it has injured the citizen. Despite fanciful theories of the new school of political economists, the strong force of personal impulse and preferences are the mainsprings from which the advancement of the world takes its movement. In a word, Mr. Cooper is a sturdy individualist, who sees, as most of us still infatuated with the fetich of legislation do not see, that it is the right of each to do as he will so long as the exercise of that right does not infringe the like and equal right of some other individual.



## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR.

## THE CANADIAN LIBERALS' DILEMMA.

Editor of THE STANDARD—SIR: Perhaps you and your readers may be interested to know the view that many Single Tax Canadian Liberals take of the dilemma in question—which dilemma I had better re-state in a sentence, so as to refresh your readers' memory:

"The Reform Party advocates Unrestricted Reciprocity and have gone to the country on this issue. But the dilemma is that they cannot get unrestricted reciprocity without practically giving the United States Government control of the Canadian tariff, which means the adoption by Canada of the McKinley tariff of abominations."

Sir Richard Cartwright, the Reform leader in Ontario, says: Unrestricted reciprocity will not mean the McKinley bill. His opponents say: "Nonsense, it must mean that."

Now, I do not care which of them is right. I am going to support the Reformers on the broad ground that they oppose the men who brought high protection into Canada. The principle of the Reformers is to take restrictions from trade. The principle of the Conservatives is to keep restrictions on trade. That is undoubted, and that is enough for me. I care little whether or not the specific proposal of the Reformers is unworkable or not. If it fails they will try something better, but it will certainly be in the direction of Free Trade.

I believe that the Reformers have been led into this policy by the heterogeneous composition of the Dominion. The intensely French Province of Quebec is a great factor in the political situation. It has been hit hard by the McKinley bill operating on the frontier, and will, I think, go solid for frontier Free Trade. So will the smaller provinces, which lie close to the United States border, and have close relations with your country. In Ontario many people will vote against Protection for the same reason they voted for it a dozen years ago, namely: "Times are bad: let us try a change, things can't be much worse."

I don't admire the political situation very much: but there it is: and a Free Trader must vote against Protection and for the men who have again and again declared themselves Free Traders, as many leading Reformers have done.

A CANADIAN LIBERAL.

## PROFESSOR SUMNER'S INCONSISTENCY.

Editor of THE STANDARD—SIR: Professor Sumner, of Yale, in an essay on wages, mention the fact Mr. George holds that wages are paid out of product, and declares that "the notion that wages can be paid out of product, is the most ridiculous notion which has ever been introduced into political economy." He goes on to say, that "it would mean that a man who was tilling the ground in June could eat the crop he expected to have in September, or that the tailor could be wearing the coat which he was making. Men could then eat their intentions, wear their hopes, and be warmed by their promises."

It no more proves that wages are not paid out of product, because a person cannot eat a crop before it is grown, or wear a coat before it is made, than it would prove that the earth is not warmed by the sun, because the rays which will proceed from that luminary in 1892 contribute nothing to the warmth of our planet at this time.

The "man who was tilling the ground in June" would have to go hungry, if he could not secure access to the product of the past labor of himself or someone else; "the tailor" would have to go naked, if he could not obtain clothes which had been produced by someone.

Professor Sumner calls attention to the fact, that Mill and other authorities, under the heading of wages, teach that the remuneration of the laborer is derived from capital: while under the heading of distribution they show that the product of labor is divided into rents, profits and wages. In commenting upon this latter showing the professor says, "from which it would follow, that wages are paid out of product." After pointing out, that the conclusion to be drawn from the science of distribution, as taught by the authorities of the old school, is the "notion" promulgated by Henry George; he nevertheless speedily proceeds to teach the same scheme of distribution in the following words:

"The product is divided at the end of the period of production into the replacement of capital (support of laborers, material, and wear of fixed capital), profits and rents." His readers are further informed that the laborer sold his share of the product, when he accepted wages: and "that all questions as to whether the laborer gets his share of the product or not, are under the wages system nonsensical."

All questions as to whether the laborer gets his share of the product or not are nonsensical in the extreme, if it is believed that his share comes from another source. Wages, they tell us, is derived from capital. What is capital? Let Professor Sumner tell us. "Capital is the product of the past;" "it is labor raised to a higher power and concentrated." Taking this definition of capital

as a guide, it matters not whether we say wages are paid from capital, from product, or from labor.

The professor of political and social science of Yale in his essay characterized the doctrine "that wages can be paid out of product as the most ridiculous notion ever introduced into political economy," when yet, both before and after making that observation, he teaches the same thing.

A. E. BROWN.

## MASSACHUSETTS SATISFIED WITH THE AUSTRALIAN BALLOT.

To the Editor of THE STANDARD—SIR: The Australian ballot law, as originally adopted in Massachusetts, applied only to national, State and city elections. The Legislature of 1890 passed an act allowing towns to apply it to their elections by adopting the provisions of Chapter 386, laws of 1890. This made its application to town elections a matter of local option. Town after town has voted to accept the provisions of Chapter 386, in most cases without a dissenting voice. No town where the matter has been brought up has voted against it. The majority of the towns in the State have already accepted it, and more are coming into line every day. This is strong evidence in its favor. Republican towns and Democratic towns, farming towns, manufacturing towns and fishing towns, all want it. The "kangaroo" system is the favorite system in the old Bay State, and there is not a man from Berkshire hills to Cape Cod sands to say a word against it.

Southboro, Mass., February 11, 1891.

S. H. HOWES.

## LET WOMEN ENROLL.

Editor of THE STANDARD—SIR: At the request of the secretary of the Women's Enrollment Committee, as well as by my own desire, I echo the call that has already appeared in these columns at three different times, for women to enroll as workers. Although quite a number have responded, there are many Single Tax women whose names we see in THE STANDARD, and there must be many more whose names have not been seen there, who have failed to respond. Why are so many deaf ears turned to this appeal?

It is a deplorable fact that the majority of women declare with pride that they pay no attention to politics. They can never be reached by mere political argument. If we gain their sympathy it must be done by special plans of education. Does this seem an unimportant line of work? Would not these women, once roused from their apathy to see the injustice that robs of their birthright by far the greater part of the human family, prove a power invincible? How can this better be accomplished than by our united efforts well directed?

"Women of the Single Tax faith," wherever in these United States you may be found, if you are in sympathy with the move that we have made, please send your names and addresses, together with any suggestions you may wish to make, to our secretary, Miss C. Estella Bachman, Mauch Chunk, Pa.

Galion, O.

MAUD E. SNAY.

## TRY IT.

Editor of THE STANDARD—SIR: The new paper, the Recorder, says in a circular that it will print letters on needed reforms. Perhaps it might be well for some good writer to send a letter calling attention to the most necessary of all reforms—the taking of land values for public use instead of private gain.

142-4 Greene street, City.

GEO. LLOYD.

## POVERTY IN MONTANA.

Editor of THE STANDARD—SIR: In the old cities it seems natural that great extremes of poverty and riches should exist side by side to those who have never analyzed the causes of both. But in a country new to the explorer, teeming with countless millions of untold mineral wealth, untrammelled by the decrepitude that decay brings with it, alike to men and things, thoughts of poverty and poorhouses seem more like a nightmare than a reality. Yet in such places, amid nature's prolific outpour of her boundless stores, the phantom of want, like the evil spirit it is, haunts the scene, dampening the ardor and blighting the hope of what should be a most prosperous community.

Let those who turn a deaf ear to the Single Tax ponder for a moment, and those who advocate it double their efforts. For faster and faster, as wealth increases, does poverty keep pace. What a monstrous injustice must underlie such conditions! What an intense satire upon the institutions of equity and the principles of Democracy! Too much stress cannot be laid upon these growing conditions, and every one who has a single thought for suffering humanity should hasten to inform himself of the causes, in order that he, too, may lend a helping hand to banish them forever. The following extracts are from the Age, Boulder, Montana:

The Elkhorn Mining Company, on the 5th instant, declared its regular quarterly dividend of \$75,000, with an extra dividend of \$25,000 as a Christmas present to the stockholders, aggregating together \$100,000, or fifty cents per share. This is for the three



months ending November 1. During the first quarter of its existence under the present management the Elkhorn paid a \$50,000 dividend. The next three months the dividend was \$75,000, and now a \$100,000 dividend is declared. In nine months the property has paid \$225,000, or about 37½ per cent. of the capital stock, and at this ratio in three years the property will have paid for itself. This is certainly a most excellent showing for a property that changed hands within a year, and is a grand advertisement for Montana's mines. That the Elkhorn is well managed there can be no doubt. The report for the year of the operations at the mine will soon be out, and it should be one of great interest. It is understood that the mine is looking better than ever, the ore reserves on the 1,150 level being extensive and rich. The present hoisting capacity is about thirty-six tons per day. A new hoist, with a sinking capacity of 3,000 feet is being placed in position and an increased output will undoubtedly follow. The extra \$25,000 added to the regular dividend comes from the reserve fund, which is understood to be in a healthy condition. Last month the company had 158 men on the pay roll. \* \* \*

That sealed proposals be received by the County Clerk, at his office in the Court-House in Boulder, until Monday, March 2, 1891, at 12 M., for the care, support and maintenance of the sick, poor and infirm of the county, per capita, by the week, for the year succeeding. Said proposals to cover the entire cost of feeding, clothing and nursing the said sick, poor and infirm, and all burial expenses thereof. Said bids to include the use of the county poor farm free of cost or assessment.

Also at the same time and place, sealed proposals will be received for the medical attendance on the said sick, poor and infirm and the inmates of the county jail. Said proposals to cover the entire cost of all medicines, medical appliances, etc., etc., necessary to said medical attendance.

Jersey City.

WILLIAM SAUL.

#### NEBRASKA DISCUSSING THE LAND VALUE TAX.

A bill has been passed by the Nebraska Legislature making it a punishable offence for any assessor, State or local, to assess any property, real or personal, at less than its "cash" value.

Another bill has been offered by Mr. Schappel, of Pawnee county, which provides that "assessors shall, in estimating the value of land, assess all lands not improved which are lying adjacent to and adjoining improved lands at the same value as improved lands." This bill was the cause of the most interesting discussion of the session of the House up to that time. There was opposition to it, of course, but a number of the legislators warmly advocated it. Mr. Felker, of Omaha, pronounced it "the most righteous bill presented for our deliberation this session," because it "reached the speculator and prevented him from fattening upon the fruits of the honest toiler." Mr. Shrader, of Logan County, said the bill was the most important one that would be offered during the session, in that it offered a plan by which taxes would be equitably levied, and justice done to the producer as well as the land owner. So much interest was manifested in Mr. Schappel's measure that it was decided to discuss it more fully hereafter.

#### OPPOSING PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXATION.

The question of taxation is just now attracting more attention among the business men of New York City than has been the case for many years. The real estate assessment has been increased for the two years past between sixty and seventy millions a year, with prospects for another increase next year. Those people who want personal property taxed are using up their brains devising schemes to prevent its escaping the payment of its share of the public burden; while those to whom experience has demonstrated that personal property can escape paying its share, and those who think it should be exempt from taxation altogether, are using their influence to bring about the state of things they desire.

The City Comptroller is one of those who wants to tax personal property, in its various forms, and so far he has prepared and caused to be presented to the Legislature no less than five bills, having for their object the more equitable taxation of personal property, to secure which greater powers—mostly inquisitorial in their nature—are conferred on the taxing officials. Even real estate dealers have ranged themselves in opposition to the personal property tax. The Real Estate Exchange has done so officially. After having had its committee on legislation carefully examine the Comptroller's bills to the legislature, they have unanimously rejected them all: because the measures will involve inquisitorial methods, they will be costly in their operation, and they will fail of their purpose.

The Exchange goes farther. It wants the taxes taken off mortgages, and a committee has been instructed to draft a bill to that effect, and it is asserted that if such a bill passes it will be possible to borrow money on real estate at almost as low a rate as that given to the city. The Mail and Express can be considered as in the fore-front of the fight for the abolition of the personal property tax, and it is fighting valiantly. Since its attention was attracted to the subject by Mr. Julian Davies' lecture on "The Total Abolition of Taxation on Personal Property," before the Manhattan Single Tax Club, four weeks ago, the Mail has been devoting considerable space to the matter of taxation, and especially to the mistake of taxing personal property. In a special article, printed last week, it goes over the history of taxation in this city for fifty years back. Of course, it

does not fail to prod the Tammany Hall and Democratic politicians by portraying more or less vividly how our people have been robbed, and it shows that whereas in 1840 we paid only \$4.33 per head in taxes, in 1890 we paid \$20.75 per head. Then, after a long argument against the injustice of a personal property tax, the Mail concludes its special article thus:

Real estate should bear the entire burden. There are some large owners of realty in this city who would welcome the change, believing, with ex-Mayor Hewitt, "that this city would largely gain by the abolition of all taxes upon personal property: that an advance in the value of real estate would inevitably follow, as the abolition of personal taxes would attract to it the capital of the whole world."

The Mail does not show how it makes its indorsement of Mayor Hewitt's utterance to the Board of Aldermen in 1888 square with its advocacy of protectionism—which would do its best to keep out "the capital of the whole world" outside of our own country. But let that pass. We are speaking now of the injustice of taxing personal property, with which THE STANDARD is in accord with the Mail. Speaking of putting all taxes on real estate, the Mail says in its special article:

There is no class of property a tax upon which would be so readily and so uniformly diffused through every other class. A real estate tax is a tax on every other kind of property. The abolition of personal taxation would stimulate business of every description, increase the demand for office buildings and factory property and incidentally result in better wages for factory hands. The slight increase in the tax rate would be temporary and soon be overcome by the increased value of real estate.

In its editorial columns the Mail is most pronounced, and states its position in the matter of taxation so clearly that were it not covered all over with the protective delusion, we could almost welcome it into our Single Tax ranks. Hear it:

The land owner eventually pays the whole tax indirectly in an increased rate of interest, and it would simplify the situation if he should pay it directly. Real estate is the only species of property which is easily discoverable, whose value is evident and which cannot be removed from the State, and on real estate should rest the burden of State taxation.

#### ALARMING THE ARISTOCRATS.

Professor Bryce has introduced a resolution in the English House of Commons which, if adopted, will give the public free access to uncultivated mountain and moor land. The resolution is a menace to the pleasures of rich hunters and sportsmen, and land-owners, especially in Scotland, are much alarmed at the favor with which it has been received in the Commons. Notwithstanding his radical views, Professor Bryce has always been liked in what is known as British society: but, since his introduction of his resolution, all his former friends among the Tories have cut him off of their dinner lists. However, his measure has made him immensely popular with the English and Scottish common people.

#### WHAT THE FARMERS WANT.

Southern Alliance Farmer.

We are hewers and delvers who toil for another's gain,  
The common clod and rabble, stunted of brow and brain,  
What do we want, the gleaners, of the harvest we have reaped?

We want the drones to be driven away from our golden hoard;  
We want to share in the harvest, we want to sit at the board;  
We want what sword or suffrage has never yet won for man,  
The fruits of his toil God promised when the curse of toil began.

Ye have tried the sword and scepter, the cross and the sacred word,  
In all the years, and the kingdom is not here yet of the Lord,  
We are tired of useless waiting; we are tired of fruitless prayers,  
Soldier and churchman and lawyer—the failure is not theirs.

What gain is it to the people that a God laid down His life,  
If twenty centuries after His world be a world of strife?  
If the serried ranks be facing each other with ruthless eyes,  
And steel in their hands, what profits a Savior's sacrifice?

Ye have tried and failed to rule us; in vain to direct us have tried,  
Not wholly the fault of the ruler, not utterly blind the guide,  
Mayhap there needs not a ruler, mayhap we can find the way,  
At least ye have ruled to ruin; at least ye have led astray.

What matter if king or council or president holds the rein,  
If crime and poverty ever be links in the bondman's chain?  
What careth the burden bearer that Liberty packed his load,  
If hunger presses behind him with a sharp and ready goad?

There's a Serf whose chains are of paper; there's a king with a parchment crown:

There are robber knights and brigands in factory, field and town.  
Put the vassal pays his tribute to a lord of wage and rent,  
And the baron's toil is Shylock's, with a flesh and blood per cent.

The seamstress bends to her labor all night in a narrow room.  
The child, defrauded of childhood, tiptoes all day at the loom.  
The soul must starve, for the body can barely on husks be fed;  
And the loaded dice of the gambler settles the loaf of bread.

Ye have shorn and bound the Samson, and robbed him of learning's light,  
But his sluggish brain is moving; his sinews have all their might.  
Look well to your gates of Gaza, your privilege pride and caste,  
The giant is blind, but thinking, and his locks are growing fast.



## SINGLE TAX NEWS.

## SINGLE TAX LEAGUE OF THE UNITED STATES.

NATIONAL COMMITTEE,  
42 UNIVERSITY PLACE, New York, February 24, 1891.

The attention of officers of Single Tax organizations is called to the resolutions adopted at the National Conference to the effect that all organizations subscribing to the National Single Tax platform shall be eligible for membership in the League. Thus far but very few clubs have formally subscribed to the platform and enrolled themselves in the League, and officers of organizations that have as yet made no move in the matter are earnestly requested to bring the question before their clubs and apply at once to the secretary of the National Committee for enrollment.

The National Committee is circulating a petition asking the United States House of Representatives to appoint a special committee to make inquiry into and report upon the expediency of raising all public revenues by a Single Tax upon the value of land, irrespective of improvements, to the exclusion of all other taxes, whether in the form of tariffs upon imports, taxes upon internal productions, or otherwise. It will send blank petitions on application to any address, and Single Tax men are urged to obtain petitions and solicit signatures as a most convenient and effective way of starting the discussion of our principles.

It has also taken up the newspaper work of the Memphis committee, and is now engaged in circularizing newspapers in every State, calling their attention to the wide-spread interest now shown in the subject of the Single Tax, and urging that they call on the press companies supplying their ready prints and plates for Single Tax matter.

The secretary desires to appeal again to our Single Taxers for renewed effort in behalf of the petition. But ten months now remain for its completion, and it seems almost criminal not to take advantage to the utmost of this opportunity to bring the question of the Single Tax so prominently before the whole country.

Reports from our friends go to show that six or seven of our Congressmen elected to the next House of Representatives are Single Taxers, while many others are favorable to our ideas. The respectful consideration of our petitions is therefore not only assured, but the appointment of the special committee of inquiry is almost a certainty. Draw on the committee for a supply of petition blanks and give the petition the boom that its importance deserves.

Subscriptions toward expenses of this committee's work for the week ending February 24, are as follows:

Willard D. Warren, New Haven, Conn.....	\$12 00
Noah G. Pomeroy, New Haven Conn.....	6 00
Willard H. Tallmadge, New Haven, Conn.....	3 00
David Wagner, New Haven, Conn.....	3 00
Eugene Price, New Haven, Conn.....	3 00
Lawrence Dunham, Sharon, Conn.....	60 00

Subscriptions previously acknowledged.....	87 00
	1,464.20

Total..... \$1,551.20

Cash contributions for same period are as follows:

"W. M." New York City.....	5 00
Edward Wendell, Philadelphia, Pa.....	5 00
Jacob Zwick, Philadelphia, Pa.....	50
T. Wiester Brown, Philadelphia, Pa.....	5 00
"A Friend," Pennsylvania.....	100.00
Chas. P. Preston, Minneapolis, Minn.....	1 00
Howe Paige, Minneapolis, Minn.....	1 00
J. F. Begert, Minneapolis, Minn.....	50
Edwin B. Barber, Minneapolis, Minn.....	5 00
J. A. Sawyer, Minneapolis, Minn.....	5 00
Thos. G. Shearman, New York City.....	200 00
R. Hill, Mellette, S. D.....	50
La. S. T. Club, New Orleans, La.....	1 25
W. B. Hannaford, West Medford, Mass.....	25
Henry Rawie, Anderson, Ind.....	25 00

Cash contributions previously acknowledged.....	\$385 00
	1,030 24

Total..... \$1,415 24

The enrollment now stands as follows:

Reported last week.....	98,812
Signatures received since last report.....	547

Total..... 99,359

For news budget, see roll of States.

GEO. ST. JOHN LEAVENS, Secretary.

## PROGRESS IN INDIANA.

J. E. White, of Indianapolis, visited THE STANDARD office on Monday. He is in good spirits over the progress of the Single Tax movement throughout the country. Regarding his own State, he said:

"In Indiana the Single Tax people have been doing principally practical work. In Evansville, which is in the Southern part of the State in what they call 'the Pocket,' the city works under a special charter which can be amended at any time by the city simply by proposing an amendment and publishing it a certain number of weeks, and then it is voted on by the people. The agitation for a new charter was originally brought about by the Single Tax people of this city, a few of whom are connected with the Commercial club. They brought the matter before the people of the city and succeeded finally in getting a committee appointed, which was composed of two from the City Council, including the Mayor, two from the Commercial club and two from the labor organizations, and they together drafted a new charter. One of the principal provisions in that charter was that the improvements in the city should be exempted from taxation. The amendment has already been published and will be voted on in a few days. It has been endorsed by the Central Labor Union, which represents a constituency of some twenty thousand people, and by the leading Democratic paper.

"The Single Tax men are rather more active here than in any other part

of the State. In Indianapolis we have been doing work largely of a practical nature. Mr. Hudson, who is secretary of the Indiana Tariff Reform League, travels a great deal from place to place and usually hunts up the Single Tax people. In that way we get in communication with persons all over the State. The work in Indianapolis has been largely confined to the gathering of statistics as to the assessments of property, etc., which will be published shortly. A number of the people who are connected with the Single Tax League are prominent in the labor organizations and through them they have become quite intimate with the Farmers' Alliance and have established a relationship which is of considerable value to them. The Farmer's Advocate, the official organ of the Farmers' Alliance of the State is now under the control of a gentleman who is a Free Trader although not a Single Taxer, but we have a promise that anything we desire will get in the paper at the present time.

"In Indianapolis there is quite a prevailing sentiment in favor of the public ownership of street railways, telegraphs, electric lights, etc, and the News, which is the most influential paper in Indiana, is advocating that plan. They are now insisting on the street railway franchise being paid for by the Company. Of course we help all these things along."

## THE DEVIL IN HIS TRUE COLORS.

The National Sheet Metal Roofing Company has removed its plant to Jersey City, and the president of the company, C. B. Cooper, tells of the incidents which led to the moving of the factory from this city:

"The company began business in this city five years ago. Three lots with an old building on them, were rented at \$1,000 for a year in the lower part of this city. It was necessary to spend about a thousand dollars on the building in order to fit it up for the purposes of our factory, and the company did it, for the owner of the property said he would not spend a cent in improvements. Business was carried on for the year; and when the owner was spoken to about renting it for another year, he said he wanted \$2,000 for it. The improvements made to the property during the year just closed making it worth that much more.

"The fellow had us at a disadvantage, for, if we moved, we would probably have to go to the expense of fitting up another place; besides, the moving of our plant would seriously interrupt our business. So we decided to pay the increased price. We tried to get a long lease, but the landlord would not give one running over two years, and we had to be satisfied with that. Our business grew, and we had to put up an addition to the building to meet it.

"At the expiration of the two years' lease we applied for a renewal, asking also for an adjoining lot, which had stood vacant during the three years we had been in business. The landlord agreed to let us have it; but the rent for the four lots and buildings—which we had erected, practically—would be \$3,000 a year. That was raising us pretty high, but we were so fixed that he had us again; so we made the best terms we could with him, which was to get from him a lease for three years.

"But business has been falling off during the last year or so, and we looked about us to see where we could retrench, and we concluded we ought to pay less rent. The landlord didn't see that in the same light as we did, and the impression grew upon us that if we were occupying those premises when our present lease expired, the rent would be put up another notch. So, while our lease had yet some time to run we began to hunt for a new location, which we found in Jersey City, fitted up exactly to suit, and much more commodious, for \$1,000 a year; but we had to begin paying rent for it immediately. We offered to give up our old premises, but the owner insisted on the terms of the lease, so we hunted for a tenant for the balance of our term. The best offer we could get was \$2,100 a year, and we accepted it, and began moving immediately. We will have to make good about a thousand dollars in the rent of the old premises; but even then we will be the gainers, for we have a long lease on the Jersey property, and after this year will save \$1,400 a year on the rent. Some of this will be absorbed in the extra hauling made necessary by our being away from our base of operations, but even then we will save money by the change."

Mr. Cooper's story is not a long one, nor is it pathetic, but the "nub" of the social question is there, just the same. If Mr. Cooper's company had decided to continue paying the rent demanded of it, and had made a cut in the wages of its working people in order to bring the expense account down to where the company thought it ought to be, then there would have been a pathetic story to tell of how labor is oppressed by hard-hearted capital. True, labor, in the case of Mr. Cooper's employees, is safe for a while; but how about the thousands of employers who submit to the demands of landlords, and in turn make the working people submit to reductions in wages, in order that they (the employers) may come out safe? It seems to us that the devil is exposed here. But do the working people see him in his true colors? That is the question.

## BROKAW STILL PREACHING THE GOSPEL IN SOUTH DAKOTA.

SIoux FALLS, February 16.—Snow blockade made the train so late Monday that I did not come to Sioux Falls till Tuesday, February 10. I put in Monday reading STANDARDS and other Single Tax matter, and the evening and next morning in writing to thirty-six Congressmen. At Sioux Falls I was taken in by N. S. Johnson, a Norwegian, who has accumulated enough property to be able now, by being careful, to live without work. He has written some books, and is engaged on another, in his native tongue. He has seen enough of the "cat" to like its looks, and, believing in "live and let live," he has offered me the freedom of his very comfortable home.

After attending to my correspondence, Wednesday morning I went down town and called on the editor of the Argus-Leader. He is a Republican, but says that the high Protection policy of the party will insure the success of the Democratic party in '92; that it is too late for the Republicans to back down, and nothing but the Democrats' own blundering can prevent their success. He accepted an article of mine, which he said he would run in the daily in a day or two. He will also reprint the article of Henry George's that appeared in the Christian Advocate, and our national plat-



form, whenever the press of news matter will permit. He signed the petition, but said he wanted me to understand it did not commit him to the Single Tax—simply to an investigation. He also ordered "Protection or Free Trade?"

About 11 A. M. I entered the K. of L. hall, and soon after the M. W. came in, and coming up to me, called me by name. He was one of those who heard me at the County Alliance meeting in Dell Rapids, and said it was the best thing he had ever heard. He introduced me to the janitor, both signed the petition, and then the latter took the book of petitions and a copy of THE STANDARD and went around among the men, who sat at tables playing cards or reading, and solicited signatures. When I went to dinner I had thirty-seven names as the result of my forenoon's work, and had sold a few books.

I returned to the hall in the afternoon, and on leaving it at 5 P. M. my book of petitions was full—seventy three; I had eight orders for "Protection or Free Trade?" and had sold some other pamphlets. They also decided to advertise me to speak there Friday night. I met one Single Tax man there in the afternoon, and several who had read something on the subject. Every day men sit there playing cards. One man said his brother was president of the Alliance in Colorado, and I gave him some tracts which he promised to send to his brother. These men are out of work—waiting for Spring to come. One of them said that there were twenty-four vacant business buildings in the city and probably 150 idle workmen.

Thursday Mr. Tracy came after me and took me out to Ellis, where I spoke in the town hall in the afternoon. Some of the audience had heard me at other places, and some were of that class who are satisfied with things as they are, and think that what they don't know is not worth knowing; but I obtained some signatures and orders for "Protection or Free Trade?" from less conceited ones.

Friday forenoon I met E. I. Severance, an aged man, who had heard Henry George and others lecture on the Single Tax in Milwaukee. He was full of it. After dinner Mr. Tracy took me back to Sioux Falls. In the evening I addressed a fair audience in the K. of L. hall. Mr. N. S. Johnson was chosen chairman. The M. W. and others sat on the platform. Quite a number of questions were asked. A Single Taxer in the audience asked several, in order to bring out some points more clearly. After the lecture I attended the K. of L. regular meeting. Several men have expressed their appreciation of my talk, some saying they had considered George simply a political crank and his theories visionary, but had obtained their information from the papers, and were now convinced they had been deceived.

I spent part of Saturday going among business men for signatures; obtained thirty-two, and eleven orders for "Protection or Free Trade?" and, getting tired, returned to Mr. Johnson's to write while I rested.

The Sioux Falls Journal (weekly) of February 13 published nearly a column article of mine, in which I criticised the editor, and an editorial reply longer than my criticism. I shall try him another whirl.

Find enclosed 132 petitions. One of them I received by mail from an uncle, who says that both his sons (printers), his daughters, his wife and himself are Single Taxers, all of which was good news to me.

W. E. BROKAW.

#### SINGLE TAX WOMEN'S ENROLLMENT COMMITTEE.

MAUCH CHUNK, Pa., February 20.—We can now add California, Iowa, Kansas and Missouri to the list of states containing Single Tax women, whose names are on our roll. We heartily thank our Single Tax brothers who have sent us names and otherwise encouraged us in our work for the cause that is dear to us all. We also thank those who have sent us papers that print Single Tax matter. We quote the following from a cordial letter received from Mrs. Frances M. Milne in reply to a letter from our secretary, as we think other active Single Tax workers may also have misunderstood our call. Mrs. Milne writes:

Believe me, I would have written sooner but I had the impression that your call was addressed especially to women who, while believing in the Single Tax, had yet taken no part in helping it forward. My Single Tax correspondence has so grown upon my hands that I feel almost afraid to add to it, but after reading your letter and understanding your idea more clearly, I see plainly that no Single Tax woman, however busy, should refuse to join your forces.

Let those who have their hands almost too full of work write to us; and some of us who are not so busy will be glad to aid them in any way we can. Suggestions or advice from successful Single Tax workers will be thankfully received. Please address our Secretary, C. Estella Bachman, Mauch Chunk, Pa.

#### SINGLE TAX LETTER WRITERS.

Below are the names of some real estate assessors. Brief, pointed letters are in order, calling their attention to the impolicy, injustice and illegality of the present method of discriminating against the land user, in favor of the land speculator. In most localities it is a greater crime to build a chicken house than to steal chickens. The latter is punishable by one fine, but the former offence involves the payment of a penalty every year.

New York City Assessors.—Edward Gilon, No. 4 Abingdon square; Edward Cahill, 127 Lexington avenue; Patrick M. Haverly, 358 West Twentieth street; Charles E. Wendt, 1,186 Lexington avenue; William H. Jasper, 200 East Sixty-ninth street.

Akron, Ohio, Assessors.—Aaron Wagener, 215 Perkins street; William Rowley, 1,044 East Market street; Hon. George W. Crouse, 707 East Mill street; David L. King, 966 East Market street; A. M. Armstrong, 515 West Market street; T. M. Ganyard, 125 South Maple street.

Albany, N. Y. Assessors.—William J. Weaver, 121 Hamilton street; William J. Flynn, 58 High street; Nelson H. Chase, 746 Broadway.

Letter writers wishing to enclose Extra tract, No. 36, will be gladly supplied free on application to

42 University Place, New York.

W. J. ATKINSON.

#### NEW YORK CITY.

Last Thursday evening Mr. Robert W. Hanington showed the Manhattan Single Tax Club how easy it was to paint a picture in oil. In less than an hour he put on a canvas, eighteen by twenty-four inches, in black and white, a beautiful view on Lake George, which, after finishing, he presented to the club with his compliments. While he was working at his picture, Mr. Hanington entertained his audience with stories and amusing little "skits," and concluded with a recitation. The members of the club are delighted with him. His picture will be framed and hung in a conspicuous place on the walls of the rooms.

On Sunday evening Congressman-elect John De Witt Warner addressed the club on "The Free Coinage Bill." In his speech, which took him two hours and a half to deliver, he gave, in detail, his reasons why he was opposed to the bill now in Congress. The debate which followed was very interesting, so interesting that even after the meeting had adjourned discussion was kept up until after 1 o'clock in the morning.

On Friday evening the managing board will meet to discuss the amendments to the constitution proposed by the committee appointed at the last regular meeting of the club, and at the monthly meeting, which takes place Thursday evening, March 5, the whole matter will be discussed by the club.

There will be the usual lecture next Sunday evening.

The house committee of the club has put the photographs of the delegates to the conference, so far received, into three large albums. It was intended to make a large album, which could have been fastened to the walls of the club room, but there has not as yet been a sufficiently large response to the request of the house committee for photographs. There were 409 delegates to the conference, and only 124 have so far responded. Since the last report on the photographs, the following have sent their pictures: New York State—George White, Brooklyn; C. W. H. Desbon, Staten Island; M. W. Norwalk and Mr. and Mrs. J. A. Roshert, Albany; George E. Bedell, Herkimer. Massachusetts—Dr. Charles K. Cutter, Charlestown. Connecticut—Lawrence Dunham, Sharon. New Jersey—Rev. Wm. P. George, Ernest Nobis, Jersey City; E. W. Nellis, James Taylor, Paterson; John K. Esler, Camden. Pennsylvania—Daniel S. Brown, Philadelphia. Maryland—W. J. Ogden, Baltimore. Ohio—W. K. Field, Cleveland. Illinois—Edward Osgood Brown, Chicago. Iowa—A. O. Pitcher, Mount Pleasant. Minnesota—C. J. Buell, Minneapolis.

#### BROOKLYN.

G. W. Thompson.—The discussion at the Brooklyn Single Tax Club on Wednesday evening last on the relations between labor and capital was fairly well attended and participated in. On Wednesday evening, February 25, we will have quite a literary and musical entertainment. A dramatic dialogue, being a discussion between various reformers, will be the feature of the evening. The Protectionist, the Free Trader, the Prohibitionist, the Socialist and the Anarchist will each have a say, and the Single Taxers will come in with frequent raps and finally emerge triumphant. The dialogue is in verse and was written by Mr. J. Hickling. Besides this, music and other forms of entertainment will be provided.

James A. Herne is playing at the Grand Opera House in the city in Wilson Barrett's "A Four-Legged Fortune." On Sunday evening next March 1, Mr. Herne will give a dramatic reading and address at Avon Hall, Bedford avenue, near Fulton street.

Woman's Single Tax Club.—Many articles have appeared in THE STANDARD, the theme of which has been women and the Single Tax. During the National Conference and in many other ways, earnest appeals have been made for women to aid in the new abolition. But whether the best results could be attained by the united efforts of both sexes, or by each forming separate organizations, has been left for experience to determine. Last October a meeting was called for all Brooklyn women interested in the Single Tax. At the first meeting nineteen were present, temporary officers were chosen and it was decided that for a time at each meeting a chapter from some good economic work should be read and discussed. In due time a constitution and bye-laws were adopted and officers elected. The meetings are held in the parlors of the Brooklyn Single Tax Club, and for some social and educational purposes the two clubs meet as one. The Brooklyn Woman's Single Tax Club has been the first to become a member of the National League. If the experience gained in the formation of this club will be of assistance to any who are about to form such an organization a letter addressed to the corresponding secretary will be promptly answered. We sincerely hope to soon hear of many similar clubs having joined the ranks against tyranny and oppression. And when success has crowned our efforts, as it surely will, we will have the pleasure of knowing that we have done our part in the great social reform.

Joseph McGuinness, Eastern District.—It surprises many of us to find how far economic questions are absorbing public attention. The Single Tax crops up for discussion in most unexpected places. Believing it possible to increase and intensify this interest, our club intends to resume public meetings (which were abandoned more than a year ago), and to distribute literature (including "Protection or Free Trade?") with a liberal hand. Our first public meeting will be held at Eureka Hall, Bedford avenue, on the second Tuesday in March.

#### NEW YORK STATE.

Charles H. Sutton, Middletown.—Fifteen signatures. It seems to me from the amount of work being done through the country generally for the petition, that this part of the committee's work will be fully accomplished when the 100,000 mark is reached.

#### MASSACHUSETTS.

S. T., Boston.—Levi H. Turner lectured before an interested audience at St. Stephen's Hall, Wells Memorial Building, Saturday evening, February 21. His subject was "Rent: its Origin, its Effect on the Distribution of Wealth, its Relation to the Law of Wages." Mr. Turner is a known Single Tax man and advocated the abolition of the present system of taxation. After an



address of an hour's duration, an educated Socialist took the floor, and denounced the Single Tax theory as a bubble. He charged Henry George with dishonesty in advocating a false doctrine. Among the matters of interest discussed at the regular meeting of the Central Labor Union, on February 15, was the proposition, which was decided in the affirmative, to set aside an hour at every meeting for the discussion of economic subjects, looking to the education of the delegates on the subjects of land, machinery, transportation and finance.

The Single Tax theory was discussed before the Prospect Progressive League at Cambridgeport, February 17. Rev. John G. Brooks, instructor at Harvard, was the principal speaker, his subject being "Why Am I Opposed to Single Tax?" Mr. J. R. Carret followed with a speech in favor of the Single Tax. Rev. R. E. Ely spoke encouragingly of the progress of the union, which is composed of members of the Harvard College faculty and students, which has already outgrown its quarters. There was a collation at the close of the meeting.

Mr. Edward Atkinson spoke before the New England Woman's Club on the "Single Tax on Unearned Increment," on February 16.

S. T., Hyde Park.—F. S. Childs addressed a public meeting of the Single Tax Club in Association Hall Tuesday evening, February 24.

Wm. A. McKendrick, Brocklin.—As near as we can find out there are about thirty subscribers to THE STANDARD in this city. Out of these we have secured eight and formed a club for active work.

S. T., East Weymouth.—Q. A. Lathrop, of the Massachusetts Single Tax Executive Committee, will speak at Temperance Hall, Thursday evening, February 26, on "Locked Out Labor and the Single Tax." John Lavis will follow with an address on "Labor's Course in the Pending Struggle."

Q. A. Lathrop, Neponset.—I have for some time contemplated breaking through the conservatism of the old town of Cohasset, where I was born, with a dose of Single Tax. In company with Mr. L. H. Turner, of Boston, I landed on the platform of the Town Hall at 8 p. m., February 13, 1891. There was an audience of 150 to 200 good representative citizens. We spoke three-quarters of an hour each, and then waited for questions. It was the first appearance of the Single Tax crank upon that stage, and the subject was new to most of the audience. They evinced much interest, and applauded heartily as the speakers closed; but were shy with questions, excepting one venerable farmer, who said he had driven seven miles to find out what this new arrangement was. He was especially anxious about its effect on the farmer, but seemed satisfied with the answers given. As we journeyed to the train the next morning, we stopped a few minutes in a blacksmith shop and found them hard at it, discussing the Single Tax; one young man defending it against an opponent, who said, "You can't ram that down my throat."

#### CONNECTICUT.

Edwin E. Baldwin, Branford.—Thirty-three petitions. I got them signed on the Elm City. We left New York City on the way to New Haven, but the fog was so heavy that the Elm City had to anchor in the East River over night. The passengers whiled away the hours by singing, story-telling, etc., but by the time my turn came they had got tired of such amusement, and when they asked me to do something I told them I couldn't dance, sing or tell stories, but if they were willing I would make a speech. The passengers enthusiastically pressed me to make one, and I gave them a straight Single Tax talk: that is, as straight as I knew how, for I never made a speech before in my life. They were much interested, and the rest of the evening was spent in an interesting discussion of the views I had presented to them. All but one—a New York stock broker—were in a friendly frame of mind. The broker said he had heard such stuff all his life. There was but one way to help poor people, and that was by going down in your pockets and pulling out a couple of dollars and giving it to the party in need. I had an opportunity to test the sincerity of the broker later in the evening by taking to him a Connecticut boy who had gone to New York to make his fortune, but who had failed and had spent his last cent for a passage back to his home. He said he had not slept in a bed for two nights, and was hungry. Anchoring in the fog meant another night without a bed, and more hunger. I told the boy's story to the broker, but he didn't "go down into his pocket and pull out a couple of dollars." On the contrary, he told the boy that he "ought to work and save his money." I distributed a number of blank petitions among the passengers, who were all interested and promised to get their friends to sign.

Martin M. Coleman, New Haven.—Seven petitions. Some two years ago I lost a good job on account of talking politics—Free Trade, Single Tax, etc.—and I have been badly off ever since. I am not earning over six dollars per week now. If I can get my head a little above water I will try to do more for the cause, if it is not too late.

#### MARYLAND.

S. T., Reister's Town.—The Rev. B. Whittingham delivered the first of a course of lectures before the Hannah More Academy, last Tuesday morning, February 17. The course is to be upon political economy, and the first lecture was on the duties of "Government and the Governed." He sketched the differences in the terms employed in dealing with this subject, political and social economy, showing how they were derived; described the different existing forms of government—military, monarchical and republican—and their origins; showed that government of any kind must possess two marked characteristics—protection and provision—protection from harm and wrong and violence; provision for convenience and comfort and domestic association. He took occasion to contrast true and false protection by exposing the fallacy of protective tariffs or taxes, compared with true protection of the community at large, as one. His lecture was attentively listened to by some seventy individuals, including three reverend gentlemen.

#### GEORGIA.

J. A. Leslie, Lumber City.—Enclosed find petitions. If I had the time I believe I could get a majority of the voters of the place to sign it.

#### KENTUCKY.

Ivy H. Teal, Bowling Green.—Allow me to congratulate you on the new form and material of THE STANDARD. The schools of this city contain a large number of common school teachers from all over the Union. I never fail to make them acquainted with the Single Tax and supply them with an appropriate tract; I find much among them to encourage propaganda. In our class in Political Economy Mr. George's ideas are widely discussed, and the verdict is generally unanimous that the Single Tax should receive the support of all justice-loving men.

#### OHIO.

H. M. Scott, Miamisburg.—Charles F. Bealle, first president of the Miamisburg Single Tax Club, died February 17. We, his co-laborers, will miss his sincere and intelligent counsel and assistance in the cause of justice and humanity. May his memory prompt us to more earnest and energetic work.

C. S. Malone, Granville.—Fifty-three petitions, which three of us have secured during the past week. We are meeting with much better success than we had anticipated. The only serious opposition comes from the "old liners." There being only three of us here, we have formed no regular organization but have weekly meetings, anyhow. We have invited three, who seem to be considerably interested in the subject, to attend our next meeting, and we will endeavor to show them the beauty of the "cat." We also have an appointment with the vice-president of the local organization of the Farmers' Alliance to discuss the Single Tax. On the whole the outlook is quite bright for us.

Buckthorn, Cincinnati. Eighteen petitions. The Post and Times-Star published copies of our petition last week.

#### ILLINOIS.

Warren Worth Bailey, Chicago, February 20.—Our joint meeting with the Equal Suffrage Association on Tuesday evening was a most gratifying success in every particular, the attendance being very large and the interest keen and intelligent. The Equal Suffragists turned out in force, nearly a hundred of the most prominent women in the local movement being present, attended, in many instances, by their husbands, fathers and brothers. The Single Tax Club was also well represented, and our people were unmistakably delighted with the way in which Mr. Louis F. Post, who was the principal speaker, showed the relation of the Single Tax to Equal Suffrage. Mr. Post was as happy in matter and manner, and there was no break in the thread of his masterly argument which, strong as it was, was still remarkably simple and plain. Mr. Marshall Beck followed in a complimentary strain, and then Mr. Edward Osgood Brown spoke for a few minutes with an earnestness, force and clearness that called out the most generous applause, after which Mr. John Z. White closed the discussion in a characteristic speech that fairly bristled with good points. The ladies tendered us a hearty vote of thanks and Mrs. McKinney, the president, said that she felt, after hearing our case, that the claims of the Single Tax were good. It was then arranged that the Equal Suffragists should meet with us, the invitation being formally extended on behalf of the Single Tax Club by President Bailey, and formally accepted by the Equal Suffrage Association, the date being fixed for Thursday, March 19, when Mrs. Ahrends, the accomplished attorney, will deliver the principal address, followed by other members of the organization. It will be a ladies' night, the arrangement being that Miss Leonora Beck, vice-president of our club, shall preside, and that other Single Tax ladies shall do whatever talking on our behalf that may be required.

Miss Leonora Beck was quite ill last night, and was unable to fulfill her engagement to address us on "A Single Tax Woman," thus disappointing a large audience that had gathered in spite of tempestuous weather. After the transaction of some business, which included the appointment of a committee to arrange for the celebration of Jefferson's birthday, the evening was devoted to a general discussion, which was participated in by Messrs. Beck, White, Bartholemew, Hornstein, Kellett and two or three strangers. So interesting did the debate become, that by common consent, adjournment was delayed for half an hour, in order that Mr. John Z. White might give an exposition of the Single Tax for the benefit of the numerous visitors. It is needless to say that he acquitted himself most admirably, and to the entire satisfaction of the audience.

Messrs. John Z. White and Secretary Frank W. Irwin will go to Jefferson City next Wednesday to participate in H. Martin William's Single Tax conference.

The semi-annual election of officers will take place Thursday evening March 5.

A robust Single Tax Club has just been organized at Peoria, the second city of Illinois.

Announcements.—February 26, W. F. Kellett, "The Crime of Poverty;" March 12, Miss Leonora Beck, "A Single Tax Woman;" March 19, the Equal Suffragists.

C. F. Perry, Quincy.—The election of mayor and the council in April will turn upon the question of establishing the city water works. In the meantime, the press turns with opinion on almost every phase of the subject. In yesterday's Daily Journal is a two column interview on the subject with Mr. R. F. Schermerhorn, a manufacturer, and who is secretary of our club. Some time ago our club adopted resolutions indorsing those of the Illinois State Federation of Labor asking our Legislature now in session to appoint, each branch, a special committee to inquire into the expediency of submitting a constitutional amendment exempting improvements and personal property from taxation. And the resolution will, I am told, be introduced soon. Mr. Warren Worth Bailey informed me previously to our action that the Chicago club had arranged to have a similar resolution introduced, and if the committee be appointed we hope to see Messrs. Bailey, Brown, Malcom, Ripley and others go down and make an argument.

There is a society here named the Conversation Club from its informal methods of discussing questions which have this Winter been mostly on the economic order. Last Tuesday evening the topic was "Labor Troubles—Their Causes and Cure," with introduction by Mr. R. F. Schermerhorn.



It was, I think, the best paper ever read before the club. It was a Single Tax business man's clear, keen analysis of the great problem. The facts were undeniable and the logic irresistible.

S. T., Bloomington.—Though compelled to work under difficulties I succeed now and then in securing signatures to the petition. The two enclosed are those of two Single Tax men. One, Rev. Henry A. Westall, has been invited, through my efforts with the secretary, to explain the Single Tax to the Y. M. C. A., and a special meeting for that purpose is to be arranged shortly. The other petitioner, Silas H. Reid, is a student at the Normal University, whom I chanced to meet to-day. He told me he had been a Single Taxer and a Free Trader for a long time, and he tells me he has made a number of speeches in Southern Illinois, his home, advocating our doctrine. The State Normal University here has about 500 students, I believe, and Mr. Reid tells me there are a number of Single Tax men among them. I gave him a bunch of blanks and urged him to work up petitions, and he assured me that he would do it. I notice that our friends in Peoria, near Bloomington, have taken steps to organize a club.

J. H. Risdon, Elgin.—Thirteen petitions from people of all sorts.

#### IOWA.

D. N. Johnson, Marion.—Quietly many of my fellow-townsmen are saying: "I believe Henry George is right," "I agree with you that the Single Tax is the only adequate remedy." Another, shaking hands with me, said: "I want to surprise you." "Well?" "I am a Single Taxer." And so "it goes." How happy we all feel. How like brothers of one family! On the evening of February 10 last I spoke at North Star Hall, nine miles northeast of this city. Although unexpected by me, and arriving late, I consented by request to divide time with the house, led by Mr. Charles Houston, of Waubeek. Having first made a brief statement of our principles and method, Mr. Houston, Mr. Bell and others present thereafter made it very interesting and pleasant to still further elucidate the "theory," as they insisted on calling it. The many questions asked by the house brought out most of the oftentimes unpalatable truths connected with a radical reform. At the close of the meeting tracts and petitions were distributed, being eagerly sought, and there can be no doubt they will be thoughtfully read, and many of the latter signed and returned to me. I intend to present to the hall ten copies of "Protection or Free Trade?" for sale or circulation, as is by them deemed best. The banner of the Single Tax has been planted at North Star Hall, and I know that many are looking hopefully up to it.

Richard Spencer, Burlington.—We had a good and interesting meeting of our little local club on Saturday evening, February 7, at my house, and have made arrangements with a local bookseller to handle 100 copies of "Protection or Free Trade?" We all watch with intense interest the columns of THE STANDARD for any news from Mr. George, and are rejoiced to hear that his rest in the Bermudas is tending to full and complete recovery.

#### MINNESOTA.

W. A. Carpenter, Minneapolis.—Last night, 16th inst., our league had a treat in an address on "A National System of Taxation," by President C. J. Buell, Vice-President Russell occupying the chair. At the close of Mr. Buell's most interesting paper, Mr. J. A. Sawyer paid the speaker a well-deserved compliment, and then spoke briefly but effectively on the reign of law in the national and spiritual worlds, claiming that in the politico-economic department, as in all others, the same reign of law is found. Among others who spoke was Mr. Sheets, member of the Legislature from Brainerd, who stated that he came up from St. Paul to see how a Single Tax meeting was conducted, in order that he might be able to profit by what he learned, if he could get together enough of his fellow-townsmen to make it an object. He then showed quite forcibly the tendency of the present system as exhibited in his county, which is largely agricultural, resulting in the separation of the farmers by large tracts of vacant land lying between them, thus making it difficult to establish schools and hampering industry in numberless ways. It was voted at the conclusion of Mr. Sheets' remarks, to stock up his carpet sacks with Single Tax literature, for distribution among his benighted fellow-farmers. Next Monday evening Prof. W. W. Pendegast of the State University, will address us on "How the Single Tax will Affect the Farmer."

#### KANSAS.

E. Z. Bulcher, Solomon City.—Nine petitions, eight of which I got at an Alliance meeting, after Mr. Reddick and myself had spoke on the Single Tax. They requested to hear us again soon; thus the good work goes on.

#### COLORADO.

H. C. Niles, Denver.—Twenty-six petitions, the second installment of an open meeting of a K. of L. Assembly. A member of the Colorado Legislature addressed that meeting, and he was asked the reason so many were out of employment in this city and country. He said it was because too many were looking for work; and further that the reason workmen were not more prosperous was because they were too extravagant and would smoke more cigars than they could afford. He failed to explain how cigarmakers would prosper were the workmen to stop smoking cigars.

#### NEBRASKA.

Charles Stevenson, Omaha.—The Single Tax has broken out in the Nebraska legislature, and they have got it pretty bad. What the Omaha World called "A Single Tax Bill" (mentioned in another part of THE STANDARD), was presented to the House on the 17th. Immediately an attempt was made to strike out the enacting clause, but it was almost unanimously defeated. Then Mr. Dobson, of Filmore, took the floor in support of the bill and among other things, said:

We claim that all taxes come from the land and through the productive energies employed thereon. We claim also that it is the concentration of population which makes land values. Therefore it is right that all lands which owe their value to the concentration of population should bear their

just share of taxation. It is through labor that all wealth is produced. If you tax the productive energies of a people you add still greater burdens to labor. You cannot add a dollar to the wealth of a nation but through land and labor. All taxes which are placed upon industries tend to retard their prosperity. We don't believe in the policy of allowing men to hold large bodies of land for the purpose of renting the same to tenants, and thereby establish a landlord system. We want to see the American farmers own the land they cultivate, and not to have a tenant system established on the American continent. Let not America become a prey to the landlord system. Let us cling to the original intent of the formation of this Government, which was equality and exact justice to all. Let us not be afraid of adopting new measures because they seem startling, if, upon careful and considerate investigation, they offer relief to the masses and only exact justice of the monopolist. The natural property for taxation is land. The unnatural is the personality which can be hid at pleasure and the value of which is neither staple nor easily determined. I am in favor of this measure, because it affords a good remedy for a great evil, and brings out strongly and practically the cardinal principles of our country strongly and strangely in harmony with the laws of nature.

Judge Felker, one of the members from Omaha, a Democrat, spoke in favor of the proposed bill. One thing noticeable in most of the speeches for and against the measure is a lack of thorough acquaintance with Single Tax principles. The action of the House, on a motion to strike out the enacting clause, showed that the infection is pretty general among the Independents and has caught some Democrats. Nebraska has the honor, I believe, of being the first State to attempt the putting of the Single Tax into force. Whatever comes of it the attempt will spread the light, and that is what we want.

#### WYOMING.

F. W. Williams, Sundance.—Two petitions. Our crops have failed two years running. Many of our farmers do not know where the next sack of flour is coming from. Two years ago a Farmers' Alliance was unknown in this State; to-day there are alliances everywhere. The mighty uprising of the producing masses which now thrills the whole land is headed directly towards third party independent political action in 1892.

#### SOUTH DAKOTA.

T. J. Questad, Baltic.—On January 14 we organized the Baltic Single Tax Club, with T. T. Vreune, president, and T. J. Questad, secretary and treasurer. We are only a few yet, as Single Tax is new to nearly everybody here. Mr. W. E. Brokaw, of Watertown, S. D., has just broken the ice, lecturing in nearly every school house in this county, and we hear the subject discussed now on every hand.

#### CALIFORNIA.

D. Stuart, Oakland.—Forty-nine petitions. I see by the reports from Sacramento that Judge Maguire has persuaded the legislative Committee on Elections to report favorably the Australian ballot law as prepared by him, and it will undoubtedly be passed before the Legislature adjourns.

#### WASHINGTON.

Owen Duffy, Seattle.—Mr. Heme will be glad to learn that there are now more than a hundred Single Taxers here. Real estate men have advertised this place so extensively that the town is crowded with labor, and there are a great many idle men. I find it much easier to talk Single Tax to them than when they were working. I never talk to a man or woman fifteen minutes without telling them what I know of the Single Tax, and I find that the majority take kindly to it.

#### OKLAHOMA TERRITORY.

Isaac McClasky, Pawnee Agency.—Seven petitions. The under consumption idea should be kept constantly before farmers and farmers' alliances, as one of the main causes of their depression. If there were no enforced idleness nor underpaid labor, there would be less under consumption and more produced to give in exchange. So he that produceth much would get much in exchange, because others would have much to give. It is upon these lines that I try to reason with farmers, and I find it sets them to thinking.

#### THE HAND-TO-HAND CLUB EDITION OF "PROTECTION OR FREE TRADE?"

Sidney M. Owen, of Minneapolis, has accepted a vice-presidency of the Hand-to-Hand Club. He is an absolute Free Trader, is said to be the most popular man in Minnesota, and polled over 58,000 votes last Fall as the Farmers' Alliance candidate for governor. The printers are being pushed to the utmost to get out the first 100,000 by March 1, and we are assured that it will be issued by that time. Advance subscriptions for the other 400,000 (or 900,000) will be received at ten cents a copy for some months yet, but all orders should be sent in with the cash as rapidly as received.

L. W. Hoch, Adrian, Mich.—Enclosed is check for \$113. Will continue remitting as cash is received. I believe you will be perfectly safe in charging me with 15,000 to 25,000 of the first 100,000. That number will probably last till your second lot is ready for delivery. I still feel that 50,000 can be placed in Michigan. It takes well and money comes quite easily when the plan is fully presented.

F. A. Herwig, Philadelphia, Pa.—I enclose \$50 for first 500 copies for the Kensington Reform Club. Am sure the publication and distribution of this edition will give the cause of reform a great boost.

J. P. Cranford, Brooklyn, N. Y.—Enclosed is \$10 for 100 copies.

R. G. Brown, Memphis, Tenn.—Expect to have some time for this work next week, and intend to work my native and acquired gall for all it is worth to raise \$500.

Henry J. O'Neill, Allentown, Pa.—Find enclosed \$3 for 30 copies. No better method of propagating the doctrine of Free Trade could be devised. The distribution of the edition so much in advance of the campaign of 1892 must give to the undertaking much greater results than could follow were it left to a later day, for people must hear truth for some time before they realize that it is truth.

Geo. H. Phelps, Denver, Col.—Enclosed find \$30 in part payment for 500 copies for Charles Ford, Charles N. Monaghan and myself; balance soon.



H. L. Pleace, San Francisco, Cal.—Four dollars enclosed; \$1 for ten copies, and the other \$300 toward the 500 copies the San Francisco Club subscribed for.

L. P. Custer, St. Louis, Mo.—One dollar enclosed for ten copies. I desire to distribute at least fifty copies. Am going to forward one copy each to a number of out-of-town friends, and ask each to secure the names of all his neighbors who will read the book and I will mail books to them. Hope in this way to plant many prolific seeds of thought. The farmers and country town people are the ones we must reach. Cities will take care of themselves.

L. M. Mering, Richmond, Ind.—Two dollars enclosed for twenty copies. If Tariff Reformers interest themselves, it would be just as easy to sell 5,000,000 copies as 500,000. Few would refuse to pay ten cents for a book of such value.

W. E. Brokaw, Watertown, S. D.—I send \$5.10 for enclosed fifty-one orders; also sent orders from Flandreau, Garretson and Humboldt.

E. C. Graham, Burlington, Iowa.—Enclosed is \$10 for 100 copies.

Geo. D. Schwartz, Denver, Col.—Enclosed subscribers are all working-men and mostly Protectionists. Will try to get more. At least a million should be printed and distributed at once.

Alexander McCombee, Falls City, Neb.—Enclosed is \$10 for 100 copies. Am a Free Trader and what is called a Single Taxer, but I don't call it a tax at all. It is only paying for a special benefit, and paying no more than the benefit is worth. I have the honor to be an old worn-out helper in a glorious cause.

C. A. Jackson, Butte, Montana.—Draft enclosed for \$10 in payment for 100 copies.

Extra tract No. 36, containing press notices, sample pages and subscription blanks, will be freely sent in any quantity to any address or list of addresses furnished. Won't you see that all Alliances, Knights of Labor Assemblies, or other organizations you can reach, pass resolutions urging their members to take advantage of this chance to get such a book for ten cents. W. J. Atkinson, Secretary, 42 University Place, New York.

#### MICHIGAN SUBSCRIPTIONS.

The wonderful work L. W. Hoch is doing is having its effect, and subscriptions are beginning to pour in from Michigan. The paid subscriptions are coming in so rapidly that we are "snowed under," and can at present only report subscriptions accompanied by cash. Mr. Hoch wants 25,000 of the first 100,000 reserved for him, and this will be done if possible. L. W. Hoch, Adrian, 1,459 copies; George Lemke, 500; H. P. Whinnery, Adrian, 350; B. F. Snyder, Tecumseh, 136; C. Puddefoot, 100; A. Fyfe, Grand Rapids, 89; Nelson Markle, Detroit, 70; Edw. Leedom, Montague, 30; H. M. Holmes, Detroit, 20; W. O. George, Marseilles, 10; George Rebee, Ann Arbor, 5; George Robins, Jackson, 1.

Tract No. 36 will be freely sent to any address or list of addresses furnished.

42 University Place, New York.

W. J. ATKINSON.

#### "THE UNEARNED INCREMENT."

London Weekly Bulletin, January 24.

"House rent, which is a test of prosperity, has gone up largely in recent years, because the working classes can afford to give themselves better accommodation." These are the words of Mr. Chamberlain, speaking recently at Birmingham at the jubilee celebration of a large friendly society. The proposition he enunciates is, as we believe, so directly at variance with the real truth that it ought not to pass unchallenged. We propose to adduce for this purpose a few facts and figures, which even Mr. Chamberlain cannot very well controvert or deny, showing that house rent has risen, not because the working classes—or any class at all, for that matter—can "afford to give themselves better accommodation," but because the increase in the value of land, followed, as a matter of course, by an increase in the rent of the houses built upon it, is diverted systematically into the pockets of the landowners, who do, in most instances, absolutely nothing to "earn" the "increment," which is growing at a far greater rate than that at which wages are increasing, or the necessities of life are decreasing in cost.

We have shown in former articles some of the reasons for the prevailing "hard times" from which nearly every class, and more especially the laboring classes, are suffering. We propose to adduce to-day another reason, and that is the license permitted to the lord of the soil to swallow up practically the whole of the enormous increase which is going on in the value of land in large towns, and especially in London. It is notorious that he does so, therefore it would be mere waste of time to offer proof of the fact. Instance only the Dukes of Westminster and Bedford, and Lord Portman, who own so large a part of the soil on which London stands. They either let this land for a term of years at a high ground-rent or they build on it themselves. The land keeps going up in value, and the leaseholder strings up the rents in proportion. At the end of the usual ninety-nine years' term the land and buildings revert to the landlord. As for the landlord who lets his land and houses together, he usually grants a very short lease of the premises, compels the tenant to put them in complete decorative repair at the end of his term, when he invariably raises the rent, and exacts a heavy fine for renewal.

Now, who created the increased value? Not the landlord, certainly—he does, as a rule, nothing—but the public who settle thickly upon it, and make the improvements of all kinds which constitute value. *Per se*, an acre of land in the city of London is of no more value than an acre on the Sussex Downs. But instead of the public getting anything out of this "unearned increment," they have, rich and poor alike, to pay higher and ever higher rents for the right to live and carry on their business on the spots their own energy and enterprise have made so valuable. The agricultural rental of the land on which London stands would be about a quarter of a million sterling, but, without the buildings, it is worth three hundred millions sterling. The land for two miles round St. Paul's has increased during the last one hundred and fifty years a thousandfold. The people whose shops and

other buildings stand on that land pay this enormous appreciation in value in almost impossible rents, and in rates and taxes growing with those rents every year. To whom? Why, to the ground landlord of course. And yet Mr. Chamberlain has the sublime audacity to say that rents have gone up "because people can afford to give themselves better accommodation." And this assertion is made, be it remembered, as to the laboring classes. Now the following evidence was adduced before the Commission on the Housing of the Working Classes: that in Clerkenwell, St. Luke's, St. Giles', Marylebone, and other poor quarters of London, 88 per cent. of the population pay more than one-fifth of their income for rent. "Rents in the congested districts of London are getting gradually higher, and wages are not rising, and there is a prospect, therefore, of the disproportion between rent and wages growing still greater." So much for Mr. Chamberlain's "rise in wages." He says that bread, sugar, and tea have gone down enormously; but what about beef and mutton? Clothing, we admit, is going down in price; but taken all together the reductions he mentions nothing like equal the increase in rent. But Mr. Chamberlain would perhaps say, Look at the cheap railway fares from which the poor man benefits all over the country. We reply, They are not cheap, but dear. Only last week we mentioned that railway fares in Austro-Hungary are, under the zone system, a farthing per mile. They are not above a halfpenny per mile in Belgium. Why the difference? For one reason, because the landed interest of England is estimated to have received the sum of £100,000,000 from railway companies alone, over and above the market value of the land thus sold!

This sounds all very well, some reader may reply, but you can no more prevent a man buying land and holding it for the rise than you can prevent the same operation in stocks and shares. But there is just this difference: land is a fixed quantity. We cannot make the metropolitan area one single inch bigger than it is. Land, again, is as much a necessity of human existence as air or water, and infinitely more limited. The present system raises it in such places as London to a fancy price, and that fancy price is paid by the many who, combined, have created it, to the one who does nothing towards causing the enhanced value. It would, of course, be absurd to say that land will not rise in value as population and business settle upon it. But, say we, distribute the "increment" unearned by the landlord among those who earn it. Come upon each individual estate, and lay hold of so much of the increase as might be found to have taken place in rental value over a given period as was *not* due to outlay by the landlord. How this may be effected is far too wide a question to go into here. But if a building, say in Lombard street, were found to have increased in letting value in ten or twenty years by 20 per cent., confiscate for the public benefit of the district so much of it as had not been due to landlord's outlay. Rents would still rise, but they would obviously rise much less rapidly. The "increment" would then be devoted to reducing the burden of rates on the tenant, in itself a reduction of rental equal to the increase in the value of the land from natural causes. Urban land would change hands much more freely on more moderate terms. The landlord's claws would be effectually pared, and the public cease to be rack-rented beyond endurance.

The brief space of a newspaper article is of course too narrow to allow of more than a mere glance at so wide a subject as the just distribution of the "unearned increment" in land. But we may depend upon it that when a Radical Government comes into power this will be one of the first questions to which it will address itself. What a party cry in the place of poor Home Rule! Tell every working man with a vote that you offer a simple scheme by which his rent would go down, instead of rising, but that if in some cases it did rise, then his taxes would be lowered just in proportion. Such a platform would carry the country, for it would appeal to the pocket of everybody, the landowning class only excepted.

#### PERSONAL.

A reporter of the Brooklyn Eagle, in his summary of a lecture by Dr. Meredith on land ownership, said that up to a certain point the doctor gave out what sounded like "remarkably good Henry Georgeism," but that he suddenly switched off and denounced the national ownership of land in favor of God's ownership, after the manner of the Jewish theocracy, leading his readers to believe that the doctor was opposed to the George theory. S. D. Guion thereupon wrote to the Eagle a letter in which he showed the mistake made by the reporter, and said that if the doctor "switched" at all it was in the direction of "Henry Georgeism," and not away from it. The reporter will be more careful next time, especially as he knows now that Mr. Guion is around taking notes.

\* \* \*

In Topeka, Kansas, last week, while delivering an address, T. V. Powderly was seized with a sudden attack of heart disease. Mr. Powderly has been troubled with heart disease for several years, and his recent trip and speech making has fatigued him considerably. He has cancelled all his engagements to speak for some time to come.

\* \* \*

A late number of the Herkimer County Herald has a letter from George E. Bedell, showing how farmers can be helped by the adoption of the Single Tax.

#### SHE IS UNANIMOUS AGAINST THE TARIFF.

Chicago American Farmer.

Not only does the prudent housewife find an increased tax on food when she goes to market, but the towels and table linen have also suffered an increased tax along with almost all articles she has occasion to purchase. The American housewife, when unanimous, is invincible; and she has become very unanimous indeed in her indignation at McKinley prices, or rather McKinley taxes.

#### THAT'S SO.

New York Telegram.

Cleveland has done probably more than any man of the time to smash the high tariff swindle—excepting Major McKinley.



## A LYING ANSWER.

Chicago Tribune.

CHICAGO, January 13.—Editor of the Tribune: What is the meaning of the Single Tax? I see it argued in the papers, and spoken of by ministers and professors of all kinds, and even by Gladstone, in a speech at Manchester, England. Kindly let me have the full meaning of the term Single Tax.

MARSHALL GRAY.

[The Single Tax as advocated by Henry George and the land nationalizers means a tax on land, lots in towns as well as farms, to the amount of its full rental value. The product of such a tax, in George's opinion, would be sufficient to meet all the expenses of Government—National, State, county and municipal. There would be no occasion for any other form of taxation. Hence the name Single Tax. The imposition of the tax would in the towns mean confiscation of real estate values and in the country district the beggary of the farmers. It would convert all present owners of realty into tenants of the State land, serfs to be taxed at the pleasure and for the profit of an office-holding oligarchy. It would leave the town and city lot owner nothing but his improvements, and, indeed, not all his improvements, because, as George himself says, some improvements become indistinguishable from the lands. Sewerage and drainage probably would be included in such improvements. To the farmer the Single Tax plan would leave nothing that he could call his own but his house, his barns and his agricultural implements. The scheme, as might be expected, is only advocated by cranks and visionaries. It is oftentimes shifted, qualified and softened down by sophists like George and revolutionary theorists like Michael Davitt to meet the unanswerable and logical objections urged against it. But to the extent that it is thus qualified it ceases to be a Single Tax plan. The vicious principle is maintained, however. It is opposed by all owners of realty, all the farmers of the country, all the owners of lots in the towns and cities, representing 7,000,000 or 8,000,000 families. It is as impracticable and visionary as most of the cranks who advocate it and who are, as a rule, landless and propertyless. It has been tried in India and in Egypt. The result is that there is not a more pitifully miserable people in the world than the Indian ryots and the Egyptian felaheen—the land serfs of both countries.]

## GETTING READY FOR BLAINE AND '92.

Altoona, Pa., Tribune.

There is a vast difference between reciprocity and Free Trade, although some of our revenue reform contemporaries seem unable to see it. Free Trade means the opening of our ports to the unrestricted commerce of the world. Reciprocity means a mutual arrangement between two nations for the free exchange of certain specified products to the advantage of both. Free Trade is a dangerous experiment; reciprocity is a beneficial business arrangement.

## ANYTHING TO DESTROY CLEVELAND.

Kansas City Star.

For the first time in many years the New York Sun has quoted from the New York World. The clipping was in reference to the "matchless ability of Senator Gorman, whom the Sun is grooming as an opponent of Cleveland." In his anxiety to destroy Mr. Cleveland, Mr. Dana is willing to concede something even to Joe Pulitzer.

## MAINE WILL "TUMBLE" SOME DAY.

Boston Globe.

Maine is now about to try the experiment that many other States have tried without success, taxing its capital and industry to death to make the State prosperous. Lighter taxes, not heavier, are what Maine needs.

## "A ROSE BY ANY OTHER NAME," ETC.

New York Herald.

"Free Trade is an abominable humbug," say the Republicans. Then they dress up Free Trade in the décolleté garments of reciprocity and remark, "How beautiful!"

## THE PLATFORM OF THE CANADIAN PREMIER.

Montreal Witness.

Sir John MacDonald's platform can be expressed in one word, "Prorecoil procitection."

## HE SEES THE SHELLS, AND GUESSES EGGS.

New York World.

Mr. Blaine is the only Republican of any consequence who sees what the people want. They want Democracy, and Mr. Blaine is getting as close to it as he can.

## "BODIES AND SOULS ARE NOT IN OUR LINE."

Edward E. Kidder in The People's Press.

Let them strike as much as they like.

To us 'tis a perfect boon.

Merrily high the prices fly

In monopoly's big balloon.

Though they starve by bits in the inky pits,

Though their children cry for bread,

The end of the game must be the same—

King Capital keeps ahead.

Good pay? Absurd! Upon my word,

What more can the men require?

You speak of the poor—what they endure,

Deprived of their bit of fire.

If we who control the price of coal

Reduced it at this time of year,

Our dividends, my worthy friends,

Would rapidly disappear!

I'm willing to add that the work is bad,

And dangerous too, to face;

But when one stops and reels and drops

There's another to take his place.

"Supply and demand," throughout the land,

By that we stand or fall.

We're dealing in coals, but bodies and souls

Are not in our line at all.

## CARDINAL McKINLEY'S FAREWELL.

Henry Guy Carleton in Chicago News.

"Nay, then—farewell:

I have touched the highest point of all my greatness,

And from this razzle-dazzle of the late election

I haste now up Salt River. I shall fall

Like a bright exhalation in the evening,

And no Congress sees me more.

Farewell—a long farewell to my soft snaplet.

This is the state of man: To-day he puts forth

His little McKinley Bill; to-morrow scatters

His noble floaters all in blocks of five,

And puts his chunk of faith in grandpop's hat;

The third day comes a frost, a killing frost;

And, when he thinks—poor, silly chump!—full sure

His ticket is a winner, something drops

In Indiana with a sickening thud,

And then he falls as I do. I have ventured

With Lodge and Tom Platt and the other boys

These many summers on a sea of tariff,

But, far beyond my depth, my high-blown pride

At length broke under me, and now has left me,

Busted and very tired, in that soup—

That scalding soup that must forever hide me.

Vain pomp and glory of the G. O. P.—I hate ye!

I feel my heart new opened; oh, how wretched

Is that poor man who monkeys with the tariff!

There is betwixt the silver bill and surplus,

The pension grab and all the other jobs,

More pangs and fears than wars or women have;

And when he falls, he falls like Ollie Teal—

Never to hope again.

Cromwell, I charge thee, fling away the tariff!

'Tis pizen to the mugwumps; how can we then,

Who must corral the mugwumps, hope to win by't?

Tone down the whole durned thing; let niggers vote

Without the Lodge Bill; try it once, for luck!

Still in the right hand carry some small 'boodle'

To silence envious tongues. Be just and fear not:

Let all the ends thou aim'st at be thy country's,

Jim Blaine and '92. Then if thou fall'st

Thou fall'st a blessed martyr.

Lead me in:

There, put me in my little trundle-bed;

That durn bill warnt no good! O Cromwell, Cromwell,

Had I but served Jim Blaine with half the zeal

I served Tom Reed, he would not, in mine age,

Have left me naked to these hoosiers and

These tariff cranks from Tammany!"

## FREE LAND FOR A FREE PEOPLE.

By CHARLES MACKAY, LL.D., Honorary Member of the Society.

Air—"Hearts of Oak."

(Reprinted from the "Christian Commonwealth" of January 15, 1881.)

Thank God for the sunshine, the air, and the sea,

For the rain and the dew, ever free to the free,

No landlords can parcel them out or conspire

To sell them, or tax them or let them on hire,

And close up with barriers what nature design'd

In mercy and love for the needs of mankind!

In mercy and love for the needs of mankind!

\* Chorus.

Hearts of love are our means,

Truth and justice our end,

The land, boys, the land

Let that be our stand,

And vote for the man

Who'll restore us our land.

II.

There's a break in the clouds, there's a gleam in the sky,

There's a beautiful star, brightly shining on high,

That heralds the dawn of the long-promised day,

When right shall be Might, and shall flourish for aye;

When man on the strength of his manhood shall stand

To enjoy and possess and replenish the Land

To enjoy and possess and replenish the Land!

Chorus.

III.

With our faces to heaven and our feet on the sod

We swear by the Faith that we cherish in God,

By the breeze of the sky, by the light of the sun,

That the land shall be ours and that Right shall be done.

Hear it, ye tyrants, that hold us in thrall!

God, the Great Giver, gives freely to all!

God, the Great Giver, gives freely to all!

Chorus.

It has been suggested that the above song should be sung on the van platform, with the chorus, as a means of educating the rural mind as to the right use of the popular vote, the only weapon for working a peaceful revolution.

\*Chorus by T. Briggs.



## THE CASE PLAINLY STATED.

Hamilton, Ont., Times.

When the rich protected manufacturers and the recipients of large Government salaries talk to the workmen about the good the high tariff does to the wage-earners, let the latter figure it out in this way:

Some men have goods to sell; some men sell labor and buy goods. It is a matter of self-interest to get as much as possible for what one has to sell, and to pay as little as possible for what one has to buy. The man who sells goods and buys labor wants to have goods dear and labor cheap; the other man (the workman, as he is called,) wants to have goods cheap and labor dear.

The workman wants to have labor dear, so that he can have plenty to spend. He wants to have goods cheap, so that his money will go a long way, as the saying is, in paying for goods. Then he has a secondary interest. When goods are cheap the people buy them freely; then lots of goods have to be made in the factories; then employment is plentiful; then labor is in demand; then wages rise.

## A REGULAR "SNAP" FOR WANAMAKER.

Knights of Honor Journal.

Hon. John Wanamaker, Postmaster-General and wholesale merchant, is a thorough believer in life insurance, of which he is reported to carry about half a million. What is good for himself he considers of advantage to his many employees, for whose special benefit he has formed a life association in connection with his general business, to which all are required to contribute. According to the constitution thereof the imperative conditions connected therewith are briefly enumerated:

All persons, employees of John Wanamaker, directly connected with his business house, shall be members of this association, which membership shall continue during the entire period of their employment by the said John Wanamaker. But upon the termination or ending of such service or employment, be it by resignation, discharge or otherwise, their membership in this association shall cease and end, and they shall forfeit all rights or benefits therein accruing by reason of such membership.

## SINGLE TAX LEAGUE OF THE UNITED STATES.

## LIST OF ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE ADOPTED THE DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES MADE BY NATIONAL CONFERENCE AT NEW YORK, SEPTEMBER 3, 1890.

(Secretaries of clubs are requested to send corrections, notices of the formation of new clubs or of requests for the enrollment of existing clubs to Geo. St. John Leavens, Secretary of the National Committee, at No. 42 University place, New York.)

## ARKANSAS.

LITTLE ROCK.—Single tax club. Every alternate Thursday evening, 717 Main st. Pres., Sol. E. Clark; sec., Theo. Hartman.

## CALIFORNIA.

LOS ANGELES.—Single tax club. Pres., Clarence A. Miller; sec., S. Byron Welcome, 301 Macy st.

OAKLAND.—Oakland single tax club No. 1. Meets every Friday evening at St. Andrew's Hall, at 1056½ Broadway. Pres., A. J. Gregg; sec., E. Hodkins.

SAN FRANCISCO.—California single tax society, room 9, 641 Market street. Pres., L. M. Munzer; cor. sec., Thomas Watson, 641 Market street.

## COLORADO.

DENVER.—Denver single tax club. Every Thursday evening, 303 16th st. Free reading room open every day, 9 a. m. to 9 p. m. Pres., G. H. Phelps; sec., H. C. Niles, 303 16th st.

## DELAWARE.

WILMINGTON.—Single tax association. Pres., Geo. W. Kneer; sec., Frank L. Beardon.

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

WASHINGTON.—Chas. F. Adams' Scientific Council (No. 2) of the People's Commonwealth. First Tuesday evening of each month at 150 A st. n. w. Trustee, Chas. Newburgh, 64 DeGraes st.; sec., Dr. Wm. Geddes, 1719 G st. n. w.

## GEORGIA.

ATLANTA, Ga.—Atlanta single tax club No. 1. Pres., J. M. Beath; sec., J. Henley Smith, 12 W. Alabama st.

## ILLINOIS.

CHICAGO.—Chicago single tax club. Every Thursday evening, club room 1, Grand Pacific Hotel. Pres., Warren Worth Bailey, 338 Hudson av.; sec., F. W. Irwin, 217 La Salle st., room 835.

BRACEVILLE.—Braceville single tax committee. Pres., John Mainwaring; sec., Chas. E. Matthews.

PEORIA.—Peoria single tax club. Meetings Monday evenings. Pres., Jas. W. Hill, 304 Madison avenue; sec., Jas. W. Avery.

QUINCY.—Gem City single tax club. Meets every Thursday evening at 7:30, room 1, second floor, n. e. cor. 5th and Hampshire sts. Pres., C. F. Perry; cor. sec., Duke Schroer, 524 York st.

## INDIANA.

INDIANAPOLIS.—Single tax league. Pres., Thos. J. Hudson; sec., Chas. H. Krause. Every Sunday, 2:30 p. m., Mansur Hall, cor. Washington and Alabama sts., room 12.

RICHMOND.—Single tax club. Pres., C. S. Schneider, 105 South 3d st.; sec., M. Richie, 913 South A st.

## IOWA.

BURLINGTON.—Burlington single tax club. First Saturday of each month, 805 North 5th st. Pres., Wilbur Mosena, 920 Hedge av.; sec. treas., Frank S. Churchill.

## KENTUCKY.

LOUISVILLE.—Progress single tax club. Open every evening, 504 West Jefferson st. Business meetings Friday. Pres., Christ. Landolt; sec., W. W. Daniel, 803 Franklin st.

## LOUISIANA.

NEW ORLEANS.—Louisiana single tax club. Meets first and third Thursday night at 8 p. m. at 131 Poydras st. Pres., Jas. Middleton; sec., G. W. Roberts, 316 Thalia st.

## MAINE.

AUBURN.—Auburn single tax club. Public meetings every Saturday evening, 3 River Road. Pres., A. C. Dunming; sec., H. G. Casey, 32 Spring st.

## MARYLAND.

BALTIMORE.—Single tax league of Maryland. Every Monday at 8 p. m. in hall 306 East Baltimore st.; Pres., Wm. J. Ogden, 5 North Carey st.; sec., J. W. Hazel, 28 S. Broadway; cor. sec., Dr. Wm. N. Hill, 1438 E. Baltimore st.

Baltimore single tax society. Every Sunday afternoon, 3 p. m., at Industrial Hall, 316 W. Lombard st. Pres., Jas. T. Kelly; sec., W. H. Kelly, 739 W. Franklin st.

## MASSACHUSETTS.

STATE.—Massachusetts single tax league. Pres., William Lloyd Garrison; sec., E. H. Underhill, 45 Kilby st., Boston; treas., George Cox, Jr., 72 High st., Boston.

BOSTON.—Single tax league. Public meetings second and fourth Sundays of each month, at 2:30 p. m., at G. A. R. Hall, 616 Washington st. Pres., Edwin M. White; sec., Emily T. Turner, 5 Cambridge st.

DORCHESTER.—Single tax club. Meetings first Tuesday of each month at Field's building. Field's corner. Pres., Edward Frost; sec., John Adams, Field's building, Field's corner.

MALDEN.—Single tax club. Pres., Geo. W. Cox; sec., Edwin T. Clark, 100 Tremont st.

NEPONSET.—Single tax league. Sec., Q. A. Lothrop, Wood st court, Neponset.

NEWBURYPORT.—Merrimac single tax assembly. Pres., Andrew R. Curtis; sec., Wm. R. Whitmore, 230 Merrimac street.

ROXBURY.—Single tax club. Pres., J. R. Carrett, 30 Court st., Boston; sec., Henry C. Romaine, 959 Tremont st.

WORCESTER.—Worcester single tax club. Meetings first Thursday of month, at Reform club hall, 98 Front st. Pres., Thomas J. Hastings; sec., E. K. Page, Lake View, Worcester.

## MINNESOTA.

MINNEAPOLIS.—Minneapolis single tax league. Every Monday evening, at the West Hotel. Pres., C. J. Buell, 402 W. Franklin av.; sec., Oliver T. Erickson, 2203 Lyndale av., N.

ST. PAUL.—Single tax club. Pres., H. C. McCartney; sec., Geo. C. Madison, 339 E. 7th st. Second and fourth Tuesdays at 41 W. 4th st.

## MISSOURI.

HERMANS.—Single tax committee. Pres., R. H. Hasenritter; sec., Dr. H. A. Hibbard.

KANSAS CITY.—Single tax club. First Sunday of the month, at 3 p. m., at Bacon Lodge Hall, 1204 and 1206 Walnut st. Pres., Charles E. Reid; sec., R. F. Young, Signal Service office.

ST. LOUIS.—St. Louis single tax club. Tuesday evenings at 307½ Pine st., third floor; business meetings first Monday of each month. Rooms open every evening. Public meetings first and third Thursday of each month at Bowman's Hall, 11th and Locust sts. Pres., H. H. Hoffman; sec., J. W. Steele, 2738 Gamble st.

## NEBRASKA.

WYMORE.—Wymore single tax and tariff reform club. Meetings every Wednesday evening at Union hall. Pres., Julius Hammi; sec. and treas., H. C. Jaynes; P. O. Box 137.

## NEW JERSEY.

CAMDEN.—Single tax club. Meets every Saturday evening at Filton hall, n. e. cor. Second and Filton sts. Pres., M. J. Flynn; sec., Wm. M. Callingham, 520 Lind st.

JANVIER.—Janvier single tax and ballot reform club. Alternate Thursday evenings, Janvier hall. Pres., W. J. Rice; sec., Sydney B. Walsh.

JERSEY CITY.—Standard single tax club. Meets first and third Thursday of each month at Assembly Rooms, 642 Newark av. Pres., Jas. McGregor; sec., Joseph Dana Miller, 223 Grand st.

PLAINFIELD.—Single tax club. Pres., John L. Anderson; sec., J. H. McCullough, 7 Pond place.

NEWARK.—Single tax and free trade club. Pres., C. B. Rathbun; sec., M. T. Gaffney, 211 Plane st.

PATERSON.—Passaic Co. single tax club. Pres., E. W. Nellis; sec., John A. Craig, 192 Hamburg av. Meetings every Thursday evening at 109 Market st.

VINELAND.—Vineland single tax and ballot reform club. Pres., Rev. Adolph Roeder; sec., Wm. P. Nichols, box 924.

WASHINGTON.—Warren county land and labor club. Pres., A. W. Davis, Oxford; sec., John Morison, box 272, Washington.

## NEW YORK.

NEW YORK.—Manhattan single tax club. Business Meeting first Thursday of each month at 8 p. m.; other Thursdays, social and propaganda. Club rooms, 73 Lexington av.; open every day from 6 p. m. to 12 p. m. Pres., Louis F. Post; sec., A. J. Steers.

Metropolitan single tax association. First and third Saturday evenings of each month, 490 8th av. Pres., John H. O'Connell; sec., Fred. C. Keller.

BROOKLYN.—Brooklyn single tax club. Business meetings Wednesday evenings; club house, 198 Livingston st.; open at all hours. Pres., Robert Baker; cor. sec., G. W. Thompson, 9 St. Marks av.

Women's single tax club. Meetings the first and third Tuesdays, 198 Livingston st. at 3 o'clock. Pres., Miss Eva J. Turner; sec., Mrs. Robert Baker, 884 Greene av.

East Brooklyn single tax club. Meetings every Mon-

day evening, 408 Evergreen av. Pres., James Hamilton; sec., Jas. B. Connell, 448 Central av.

Eastern District single tax club. Meetings first and third Mondays, 284 Broadway. Pres., Joseph McGuinn, 133 S. 9th st., Brooklyn, E. D.; sec., Emily A. Deverall.

Eighteenth ward single tax club. Every Thursday at 8 p. m. at 253 Evergreen av. Pres., J. J. Faulkner; sec., Adolph Pettenkofer, 253 Evergreen av.

ALBANY.—Albany single tax club. Meetings Sunday, 7:30 p. m., Beaver Block, cor. Pearl and Norton sts. Pres., F. W. Cronke; cor. sec., Geo. Noyes.

OSWEGO.—Pioneer single tax club. Pres., James Ryan; sec., James C. Murray.

OWEGO.—Single tax club. Pres., Michael J. Murray; sec., Wm. Minchaw, 50 West Main st.

LONG ISLAND CITY.—Freedom association meets evening of every fourth Friday of the month at Schwabenberg's hall, corner Vernon and Borden avs. Sec., T. G. Drake, 215 Kouwenhoven st.

TROY.—Single tax club. Meetings every Thursday evening at 576 River st; Pres., Henry Sterling; sec., B. B. Maris, 576 River st.

## OHIO.

CINCINNATI.—Cincinnati single tax club. Every Monday night, 7:30 o'clock, Robertson's Hall, Lincoln's Inn Court, 227 Main st. (near P. O.). Pres., James Semple, 478 Central ave.; sec., W. H. Beecher, Carlisle st., Mt. Auburn.

CLEVELAND.—Central single tax club. First and third Wednesday evenings, 8 p. m.; rooms, 301 and 303 Arcade, Euclid av. Pres., Tom L. Johnson; sec., L. E. Siemon, 7 Greenwood st.

DAYTON.—Free land club. Pres., J. G. Galloway; sec., W. W. Kile, 108 East 5th st.

GALLON.—Gallon single tax club. Every Monday evening, residence of P. J. Snay, 103 South Union st. Pres., P. J. Snay; sec., Maud E. Snay.

HEMLOCK.—Single tax club. Pres., D. P. Sweeney; sec., James G. Hayden.

MIAMISBURG.—Miamisburg single tax club. Pres., H. M. Scott; sec., J. T. Beals.

YOUNGSTOWN.—Every Thursday evening, Ivorites hall. Pres., Billy Radcliffe; sec., A. C. Hughes, 13 Public sq.

ZANESVILLE.—Single tax club. Pres., W. H. Longheed; sec., Wm. Quigley.

## PENNSYLVANIA.

BRADFORD.—Single tax club. Hevenor's hall, 41 Main st. Meetings for discussion every Sunday at 3:30 p. m.

GERMANTOWN.—Single tax club. Sec. E. D. Burleigh, 13 Willow av.

JOHNSTOWN.—Henry George club. Meets every Monday evening for public discussion. Pres., A. J. Moxham; sec., S. E. Clarkson.

PHILADELPHIA.—Single tax society of Philadelphia, every Thursday, 8 p. m., 1341 Arch st.; cor. sec., A. H. Stephenson, 240 Chestnut st.

PITTSBURG.—Pittsburg single tax club. Meets every first and third Sunday evening at 7:30, 64 4th av. Pres., Edm. Yardley; sec., Mark F. Roberts, 140 South 24th st.

POTTSWATER.—Single tax club. Meetings first and third Friday evenings each month in Weltzenkorn's hall. Pres., D. L. Haws; sec., Geo. Auchy, Pottstown, Pa.

READING.—Reading single tax society. Monday evenings, 723 Penn st. Pres., Chas. S. Prizer; sec., Wm. H. McKinney, Mineral Spring road and Clymer st.

## RHODE ISLAND.

PAWTUCKET.—Pawtucket single tax association. Pres., John McCaffrey; sec., Matthew Curran, 64 Main st.

## SOUTH DAKOTA.

STATE.—South Dakota single tax association. Pres., Judge Levi McGee, of Rapid City; sec., John B. Hanten, Watertown.

BALTIC.—Baltic single tax club. Pres., T. T. Vrenne; sec., T. J. Qvestad.

WATERTOWN.—Single tax club. Pres., Jno. B. Hanten; sec., L. E. Brickell. Meetings every Wednesday night in basement Granite block.

## TENNESSEE.

MEMPHIS.—Memphis single tax association. Pres., J. S. Menken; sec., R. G. Brown, Appeal building.

## TEXAS.

EL PASO.—Single tax club. Meetings every Saturday evening, 200½ El Paso st. Pres., G. E. Hubbard; sec. and treas., M. W. Stanton; cor. sec., G. H. Higgins.

HOUSTON.—Houston single tax club. Meetings every Tuesday evening, 7:30, Franklin st. Pres., E. P. Alsbury; sec., E. W. Brown.

## WISCONSIN.

MILWAUKEE.—Milwaukee single tax league. Pres., L. B. Benton; sec. treas., Martin Johnson.



# The Single Tax Library.

(Entered at Post Office, New York, as second-class mail matter.)

A Set of Tracts (exclusive of Books), from Nos. 1 to 36, will be sent for 40 cents. The price will increase with the addition of new Tracts.

	Cents.
1. A Syllabus of Progress and Poverty. Louis F. Post. 8 pages.....	3
2. Out of print.	
3. First Principles. Henry George. 4 pages.....	2
4. The Right to the Use of the Earth. Herbert Spencer. 4 pages.....	2
5. Farmers and the Single Tax. Thomas G. Shearman. 8 Pages.....	3
6. The Canons of Taxation. Henry George. 4 pages.....	2
7. A Lawyer's Reply to Criticisms. Samuel B. Clark. 16 pages.....	4
8. Out of print.	
9. The Single Tax. Thomas G. Shearman. 8 pages.....	3
10. The American Farmer. Henry George. 4 pages.....	2
11. Unemployed Labor. Henry George. 4 pages.....	2
12. The Case Plainly Stated. H. F. Ring. 8 pages.....	3
13. Social Problems. 342 pages. 12mo. Paper.....	35
14. Objections to the Land Tax. Thomas G. Shearman. 4 pages.....	2
15. Land Taxation. A Conversation Between David Dudley Field and Henry George. 4 pages.....	2
16. How to Increase Profits. A. J. Steers. 2 pages.....	1
17. The New Political Economy. E. O. Brown. 4 pages.....	2
18. Thy Kingdom Come. Henry George. 4 pages.....	2
19. The Functions of Government. Henry George. 8 pages.....	3
20. The Menace of Plutocracy. Thomas G. Shearman. 8 pages.....	3
21. Tenement House Morality. J. O. S. Huntington. 4 pages.....	3
22, 23, 24, out of print.	
25. Taxing Land Values. Henry George. 8 pages.....	3
26. Henry George's Mistakes. Thomas G. Shearman. 8 pages.....	3
27. The Democratic Principle. Henry George. 8 pages.....	3
28. Progress and Poverty. Henry George. 512 pages.....	35
29. Out of print.	
30. Property in Land. Henry George. 77 pages.....	15
31 and 32, out of print.	
32. Out of print.	
34. Single Tax Platform. By Henry George. 2 pages.....	1
35. Justice the Object—Taxation the Means. Henry George. 8 pages.....	3
36. Out of print.	

## PRICES OF SINGLE TAX LIBRARY :

Two-page Tracts—1 copy, 1 cent; 40 copies, 10 cents; 100 copies, 20 cents; 1,000 copies, \$1.50.  
Four-page Tracts—1 copy, 2 cents; 20 copies, 10 cents; 100 copies, 40 cents; 1,000 copies, \$3.00.  
Eight-page Tracts—1 copy, 3 cents; 10 copies, 10 cents; 100 copies, 80 cents; 1,000 copies, \$6.00.  
Sixteen-page Tracts—1 copy, 4 cents; 5 copies, 10 cents; 100 copies, \$1.60; 1,000 copies, \$12.00.  
No extra charge by mail.

The following numbers of the "Land and Labor Library" are still in stock :

13. Sailors' Snug Harbor and the Randall Farm. W. T. Croasdale. 12 pages.
14. The Collegiate Church and Shoemaker's Field. W. T. Croasdale. 12 pages.
21. Christianity and Poverty. Father Huntington. 4 pp.
38. "God Wills It." Henry George. 4 pages.
84. A Republican's Reasons for Supporting Cleveland. Judge Frank T. Reid. 2 pages.
88. Jefferson and Hamilton. Chauncey F. Black. 8 pages.

## GERMAN TRACTS.

42. First Principles. Henry George. 4 pages.
43. Socialism—Its Truth and Its Error. Henry George. 4 pages.
45. Taxing Land Values. Henry George. 8 pages.
47. It is the Law of Christ. Rev. S. H. Spencer, Henry, III. 4 pages.
53. Sailors' Snug Harbor. Wm. T. Croasdale. 12 pages.

## SWEDISH TRACTS.

52. The Case Plainly Stated. H. F. Ring. 8 pages.

## TARIFF TRACTS.

A Set of Tariff Tracts will be sent to any address for 10 cents.

57. Protection as a Universal Need. Henry George. 4 pages.
60. The Tariff Question. Henry George. 4 pages.
69. Protection and Wages. Henry George. 8 pages.
70. The Common Sense of the Tariff Question. Thomas G. Shearman. 8 pages.
72. Protection the Friend of Labor? Thomas G. Shearman. 8 pages.
75. A Short Tariff History. Thomas G. Shearman. 2 pages.
67. Plain Talk to Protectionists. Thomas G. Shearman. 4 pages.

Address

**THE STANDARD,**

42 University Place, New York City.

## THE SINGLE TAX PLATFORM.

ADOPTED BY THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF THE SINGLE TAX LEAGUE OF THE UNITED STATES AT COOPER UNION, NEW YORK, SEPT. 3, 1890.

We assert as our fundamental principle the self-evident truth enunciated in the Declaration of American Independence, that all men are created equal, and are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights.

We hold that all men are equally entitled to the use and enjoyment of what God has created and of what is gained by the general growth and improvement of the community of which they are a part. Therefore, no one should be permitted to hold natural opportunities without a fair return to all for any special privilege thus accorded to him, and that value which the growth and improvement of the community attach to land should be taken for the use of the community.

We hold that each man is entitled to all that his labor produces. Therefore no tax should be levied on the products of labor.

To carry out these principles we are in favor of raising all public revenues for national, state, county and municipal purposes by a single tax upon land values, irrespective of improvements, and of the abolition of all forms of direct and indirect taxation.

Since in all our states we now levy some tax on the value of land, the single tax can be instituted by the simple and easy way of abolishing, one after another, all other taxes now levied, and commensurately increasing the tax on land values, until we draw upon that one source for all expenses of government, the revenue being divided between local governments, state governments and the general government, as the revenue from direct taxes is now divided between the local and state governments; or, a direct assessment being made by the general government upon the states and paid by them from revenues collected in this manner.

The single tax we propose is not a tax on land, and therefore would not fall on the use of land and become a tax on labor.

It is a tax, not on land, but on the value of land. Thus it would not fall on all land, but only on valuable land, and on that not in proportion to the use made of it, but in proportion to its value—the premium which the user of land must pay to the owner, either in purchase money or rent, for permission to use valuable land. It would thus be a tax, not on the use or improvement of land, but on the ownership of land, taking what would otherwise go to the owner as owner, and not as user.

In assessments under the single tax all values created by individual use or improvement would be excluded, and the only value taken into consideration would be the value attaching to the bare land by reason of neighborhood, etc., to be determined by impartial periodical assessments. Thus the farmer would have no more taxes to pay than the speculator who held a similar piece of land idle, and the man who on a city lot erected a valuable building would be taxed no more than the man who held a similar lot vacant.

The single tax, in short, would call upon men to contribute to the public revenues, not in proportion to what they produce or accumulate, but in proportion to the value of the natural opportunities they hold. It would compel them to pay just as much for holding land idle as for putting it to its fullest use.

The single tax, therefore, would—

1. Take the weight of taxation off of the agricultural districts where land has little or no value irrespective of improvements, and put it on towns and cities where bare land rises to a value of millions of dollars per acre.
2. Dispense with a multiplicity of taxes and a horde of taxgatherers, simplify government and greatly reduce its cost.
3. Do away with the fraud, corruption and gross inequality inseparable from our present methods of taxation, which allow the rich to escape while they grind the poor. Land cannot be hid or carried off, and its value can be ascertained with greater ease and certainty than any other.
4. Give us with all the world as perfect freedom of trade as now exists between the states of our Union, thus enabling our people to share, through free exchanges, in all the advantages which nature has given to other countries, or which the peculiar skill of other peoples has enabled them to attain. It would destroy the trusts, monopolies and corruptions which are the outgrowths of the tariff. It would do away with the fines and penalties now levied on anyone who improves a farm, erects a house, builds a machine, or in any way adds to the general stock of wealth. It would leave everyone free to apply labor or expend capital in production or exchange without fine or restriction, and would leave to each the full product of his exertion.
5. It would, on the other hand, by taking for public use that value which attaches to land by reason of the growth and improvement of the community, make the holding of land unprofitable to the mere owner, and profitable only to the user. It would thus make it impossible for speculators and monopolists to hold natural opportunities unused or on a half used, and would throw open to labor the illimitable field of employment which the earth offers to man. It would thus solve the labor problem, do away with involuntary poverty, raise wages in all occupations to the full earnings of labor, make overproduction impossible until all human wants are satisfied, render labor-saving inventions a blessing to all, and cause such an enormous production and such an equitable distribution of wealth as would give to all comfort, leisure and participation in the advantages of an advancing civilization.

With respect to monopolies other than the monopoly of land, we hold that where free competition becomes impossible, as in telegraphs, railroads, water and gas supplies, etc., such business becomes a proper social function, which should be controlled and managed by and for the whole people concerned, through their proper government, local, state or national, as may be.

# Don't kick



because your business is bad, but advertise if you don't know how to, write to us and we will tell you.

We will prepare your advertisement or give you advice and assistance to aid you in preparing it yourself. We will have the advertisement set in type and procure illustrations if any are needed. When a satisfactory advertisement has been produced we will furnish proofs and an electrotyped pattern to be used in duplicating the advertisement if the display or illustration make an electrotype desirable.

Address **GEO. P. ROWELL & Co.,**  
Newspaper Advertising Bureau,  
10 Spruce St., N. Y.



Pears' Soap, established 100 years has, from the World's first great Exhibition in 1851 down to its last in Edinburgh, 1890, in every instance obtained the highest Medals and Diplomas at the disposal of the Judges. A series of achievements without precedent amongst exhibitors of any class of goods whatever. Of these awards, A. & F. Pears hold no fewer than twenty.

As at each Exhibition the Jury is composed on an average of more than five of the Chief Experts of the World (Analysts or Soapmakers), these awards represent the consensus of opinion of over 100 of the world's foremost authorities.

**ELY'S CREAM BALM**—Cleanses the Nasal Passages, Allays Pain and Inflammation, Heals the Sores, Restores Taste and Smell, and Cures

# CATARRH

Give Relief at once for Cold in Head. Apply into the Nostrils. It is Quickly Absorbed. 50c. Druggists or by mail. ELY BROS., 66 Warren St., N. Y.



A LARGE AND VALUABLE

# Cook Book Free

Over 300 Pages.

Several Hundred Illustrations.

At a great expense the publishers of this book procured a vast number of recipes from practical housewives living in every part of the United States, and out of 20,000 Recipes the best were selected by competent ladies, and over 300,000 copies of this cook book were sold. The edition for 1891 has been greatly improved by adding the newest, best and most practical recipes of progressive cooking schools. Several hundred illustrations have also been added, at great expense, making it the most complete and best illustrated cook book ever published. The result is an admirably condensed volume of recipes for every day, arranged for practical use.

Among its points of excellence are the following topics:

Practical Suggestions to Young Housekeepers, Necessary Kitchen Utensils, Suggestions and Recipes for Soups, Fish, Poultry, Game, Meats, Salads, Sauces, Catsups and Relishes, Breakfast and Tea Dishes, Vegetables, Bread, Biscuit, Pies, Puddings, Cakes, Custards, Desserts, Cookies, Fritters, etc. Also for Preserves, Beverages and Candies; Cookery for the Sick, Bills of Fare for Family Dinners, Holiday Dinners, Parties, Picnics, Teas, Luncheons, etc. A Table of Weights and Measures; chapters on the Various Departments of Household Management and Work.

IT WOULD BE CHEAP AT \$1.00

As it is the Latest, Best and Most Practical Cook Book Published, More Nearly Meeting the Wants of American Homes than Any Other.

To introduce the popular household journal, the LADIES HOME COMPANION, into thousands of new homes, the publishers offer

3 Months Trial for Only 15 Cents, AND A COPY OF THE COOK BOOK FREE.

**THE LADIES HOME COMPANION** is a large and popular 16-page journal, published twice a month, and gives information about those things which ladies particularly wish to know, and is mostly written by ladies. Original or Selected Stories by eminent authors are found in each issue. A special feature is the variety of departments, each of which is conducted by a special editor. It gives the latest Fashions, arranged for practical use; timely hints to Housekeepers; cheering and helpful talks with Mothers; valuable information for lovers of Flowers; a pretty and pleasant page for pretty and pleasant children. All handsomely and profusely illustrated.

To Get the Cook Book Free When you send 15 cents for three months trial subscription to the LADIES HOME COMPANION you must state that you want the Cook Book, and it will be mailed free, postpaid. Address letters plainly to

**LADIES HOME COMPANION, Philadelphia, Pa.** Mention this paper when you write.



# HENRY GEORGE & CO.,

PUBLISHERS,

42 University Place, New York City.

## PROTECTION OR FREE TRADE?

An examination of the Tariff question, with especial regard to the interests of labor. By Henry George. Half calf or half morocco, \$3.00; cloth, \$1.50; paper covers, 25 cents.

Written with a clearness, a vigor and a terseness that at once attract.—Philadelphia Record.

Whatever may be Henry George's defects as an author he never writes a dull book.—Home Weekly.

The fullest and clearest presentation of a much disputed subject yet made.—Kansas City Times.

An argument of great ingenuity and power, logically worked out and attractively formulated.—Chicago Times.

Another great book, written in that clear, eloquent, analytical style that made fame for "Progress and Poverty." The most thorough investigation of the subject that has yet been put into type.—New York News.

## PROGRESS AND POVERTY.

An inquiry into the cause of industrial depression and of increase of want with increase of wealth. The remedy. By Henry George. 512 pages. Half calf or half morocco, \$3; cloth, \$1.50; paper covers, 35 cents.

## SOCIAL PROBLEMS.

By Henry George. 342 pages. Half calf or half morocco, \$2.50; cloth, \$1.00; paper covers, 35 cents.

## THE LAND QUESTION.

What it involves and how alone it can be settled. By Henry George. 87 pages. Paper covers, 20 cents.

## PROPERTY IN LAND.

A Passage-at-Arms between the Duke of Argyll and Henry George. 77 pages. Paper covers, 15 cents.

## SETS OF THREE.

"Progress and Poverty," "Social Problems," "Protection or Free Trade?" bound alike, in half calf or half morocco, \$7 50.

## HENRY GEORGE'S WORKS IN FOREIGN LANGUAGES.

FORTSCHRITT UND ARMUTH.—("Progress and Poverty" in German.) Translation of C. D. F. Gutschow. 430 pages. Paper covers, 35 cents.

PROGRES ET PAUVRETE.—("Progress and Poverty" in French.) Translation of P. LeMonnier. 542 pages. Paper covers, \$2.75.

PROTECTION OU LIBRE-ECHANGE?—"Protection or Free Trade?" in French.) Translation of Louis Vossion. 436 pages. Paper covers, \$2.75.

PROGRESSO E POVERTA.—("Progress and Poverty" in Italian.) Translation of Ludovico Eusebio. 352 pages. Paper covers, \$2.50.

## ECONOMIC WORKS.

By way of answering inquiries constantly received, we append a list of some economic and social works of various kinds, with prices, at which they will be forwarded post-paid. Any work not mentioned, procurable in New York, will be sent on receipt of publisher's price.

HENRY GEORGE OG HANS SOCIAL PROGRAM.—("Henry George and His Social Programme," in Danish, by Fernando Linderberg.) 147 pages. Paper covers. Sent to any address on receipt of 50 cents.

THE HISTORY OF THE FREE TRADE MOVEMENT IN ENGLAND.—By Augustus Mongredien. 16mo. Cloth, 50 cents.

POSTULATES OF ENGLISH POLITICAL ECONOMY.—By Walter Bagehot. Cloth, \$1.

AN INQUIRY INTO THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.—By Adam Smith. Edited by Thorold Rogers. \$1.50.

THE TARIFF HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES.—Containing "Protection to Young Industries" and "The History of the Present Tariff, 1830-1888." By F. W. Taussig. 8vo. Cloth, \$1.25.

WILLIAM LLOYD GARRISON.—The story of his life. Told by his children. 4 Volumes. Cloth, \$12.

ESSAYS ON POLITICAL ECONOMY.—By Frederick Bastiat. 12mo. Cloth, \$1.25.

THE SINGLE TAX DISCUSSION.—HELD AT SARATOGA, SEPTEMBER 5TH, 1890.—Reported for the American Social Science Association, and edited by F. B. Sanborn. 127 pages. Paper, 50 cents.

SOPHISMS OF PROTECTION.—With preface by Horace White. By Frederick Bastiat. 12mo. Cloth, \$1.

HISTORY OF POLITICAL ECONOMY IN EUROPE.—By Jerome Adolphe Blanqui. 8vo. Cloth, \$3.

UNDER THE WHEEL.—A play by Hawlin Garland. Price 25 cents, post paid; five or more to one address, 20 cents each.

WANT AND WEALTH.—A discussion of some economic dangers of the day. By Edward J. Shriver. Paper covers, 25 cents.

WORK AND WAGES.—By Thomas Brassey. 16mo. Cloth, \$1.

THE GEORGE HEWETT CAMPAIGN.—An account of the New York municipal election of 1880, containing Henry George's speeches and the famous correspondence with Mr. Hewitt. Paper covers, 193 pages, 20 cents.

THE AUSTRALIAN BALLOT SYSTEM, as embodied in the legislation of various countries. By J. H. Wigmore. Cloth, \$1.50.

SIMULTANEOUS FRENCH GRAMMAR.—A new and inductive method of teaching the French language. By Robert Jones. 176 pages. Cloth, 75 cents.

Any of the above Books will be sent Post-Paid, on receipt of price.

# HENRY GEORGE & CO.,

42 University Place, New York City.



# THE STANDARD

A WEEKLY REVIEW OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS.

PUBLISHED EVERY WEDNESDAY, BY WM. T. CROASDALE, AT NO. 42 UNIVERSITY PLACE.

[Entered at the post office in New York as second-class matter.]

VOL. IX., No. 9.  
WHOLE No. 318.

NEW YORK, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 4, 1891.

{ ONE YEAR, \$2.00  
{ FOUR MOS., \$1.00

## CONTENTS.

*Editorial: Silver or Tariff, Which?—Is all Property a Trust?—Women in Politics—Postal Subsidies—The Canada Election—More Trusts—Death of Senator Hearst—The Women's Enrollment Committee—Anna Dickinson—The Pacific Roads—Current Notes.*

<i>Free Coinage or Free Trade?</i>	- - - - -	Thomas G. Shearman
<i>The Bounties to Beggars' Bill,</i>	- - - - -	Captain John Codman
<i>Cleveland's Letter Criticised,</i>	- - - - -	J. Belangee
<i>At the National Capital,</i>	- - - - -	Henry George, Jr.
<i>Mr. George's Life in Bermuda,</i>	- - - - -	William E. Hicks
<i>The Reciprocity Humbug,</i>	- - - - -	Stoughton Cooley
<i>The Landlord Blight in Illinois,</i>	- - - - -	J. F. H.
<i>Notes and Queries.</i>		
<i>Current Thought.</i>		
<i>A Dream of Communism.</i>		
<i>Socialism and Single Tax.</i>		
		<i>A Live Woman in Real Politics.</i>
		<i>Taxation the Burning Question.</i>
		<i>Single Tax News.</i>
		<i>Personal.</i>

### "THE FORUM" FOR MARCH.

The March number of THE FORUM begins its eleventh volume. Throughout the whole period of the ten volumes now finished there has been an uninterrupted increase of business, which is an indication of the steady growth of popular interest in the free discussion of the most important subjects of the time.

In the March number is an article on "THE RING AND THE TRUST," by William Barry, which is an argument to show that the consolidation of great industries is rapidly preparing a way for socialism.

Another article is "RAILWAYS UNDER GOVERNMENT CONTROL," by W. M. Acworth, a special English student of the subject, who reviews railway management in every country on the globe.

Another article is "RUSSIAN TREATMENT OF JEWISH SUBJECTS."

Other articles in this number are: "THE NICARAGUA CANAL," by Senator John Sherman; "SILVER AS A CIRCULATING MEDIUM," by George S. Boutwell; "DO WE HATE ENGLAND?" by Bishop A. C. Cox; "FREEDOM OF RELIGIOUS DISCUSSION," by Max Muller; "THE PATENT OFFICE," by Park Benjamin; "A NEW POLICY FOR THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS," by John Bascom, and other essays on living subjects. Fifty cents a copy. Five dollars a year.

"THE FORUM" PUBLISHING COMPANY, NEW YORK.

### HAMMOND TYPEWRITER

FOR SALE.

**COST \$100,**

AND HAS BEEN BUT LITTLE USED.

**Will Sell Cheap for Cash.**

ADDRESS,

**W. E. BROKAW, Box 24,**

Watertown, South Dakota.

### Handy Binders for The Standard.

A lot of Handy Binders, made especially for filing THE STANDARD, are now ready.

Price—75 Cents, to any address.

Address

THE STANDARD,  
42 University Place, New York City.

**MORRIS R. GEORGE,**  
**Manufacturing Jeweler**  
**AND**  
**ENGRAVER.**

**Society Badges and Jewels Made to Order**  
**S. E. COR. 11th and SANSON STS.,**  
**PHILADELPHIA.**

JOBBER.

DIAMONDS RESET, ETC.

## SEE DAN BEARD'S CARTOON.

"THE BENEVOLENT MANUFACTURER" and the  
"PROTECTED WORKINGMAN,"

IN

*Belford's Magazine for March.*

### Volume VIII. of The Standard

(July 2 to December 31, 1890.)

**NOW READY.**

A limited number of bound volumes of THE STANDARD in heavy boards are offered for sale at the following prices:

Vol. I.....Out of print  
(January 8 to July 2, 1887.)

Vol. II.....\$5 00  
(July 9 to December 30, 1887.)

Vols. III and IV.....\$7 00  
(January 7 to December 29, 1888. Bound together.)

Vol. V.....\$3 50  
(January 5 to June 29, 1889.)

Vol. VI.....\$3 50  
(July 6 to December 28, 1889.)

Vol. VII.....\$3 50  
(January 1 to June 25, 1890.)

Vol. VIII.....\$3 50  
(July 2 to December 31, 1890.)

EXPRESSAGE EXTRA.

Address **THE STANDARD,**  
42 University Place, New York.

### Concord Co-operative Printing Co. (Inc.)

104 ELM ST., COR. CANAL, NEW YORK.

Book, Job and Newspaper Printing.

### A Single Tax Button in Gold.

We have just received a neat button in gold, with blue enamel ground. It has the cat's head in the centre with "Single" above it and "Tax" below it. It is round in shape and smaller than a three-cent piece. Sent to any address on receipt of fifty cents.

HENRY GEORGE & CO.,  
42 University Place, New York.

### Consumption Cured.

An old physician, retired from practice, had placed in his hands by an East India missionary the formula of a simple vegetable remedy for the speedy and permanent cure of Consumption, Bronchitis, Catarrh, Asthma and all Throat and Lung Affections, also a positive and radical cure for Nervous Debility and all Nervous Complaints. Having tested its wonderful curative powers in thousands of cases, and desiring to relieve human suffering, I will send free of charge to all who wish it this recipe in German, French or English, with full directions for preparing and using. Sent by mail, by addressing, with stamp, naming this paper, W. A. NOYES, 800 Powers' Block, Rochester, N. Y.



# **FORTY-SIXTH ANNUAL REPORT** **— OF THE —** **New York Life Insurance Company,**

Office, Nos. 346 and 348 Broadway,  
NEW YORK.

**JANUARY 1, 1891.**

Amount of Net Assets, January 1, 1890..... \$101,027,322.46  
Less Contingent Sinking Fund (reduced value in securities December 31)..... 508,525.11

## REVENUE ACCOUNT.

Premiums..... \$28,863,854.71  
Less deferred premiums, January 1, 1890..... 1,635,645.37— \$27,228,209.34  
Interest and rents, etc..... 5,371,235.38  
Less interest accrued January 1, 1890..... 441,344.64— 4,929,890.74— \$32,158,100.08

## DISBURSEMENT ACCOUNT.

Losses by death, and Endowments matured and discounted (including reversionary additions to same)..... \$7,078,272.48  
Dividends (including mortuary-dividends), annuities, and purchased insurances..... 6,301,271.54  
Total Paid Policy-holders..... \$13,379,544.02  
Taxes and re-insurances..... 290,257.97  
Commissions (including advanced and commuted commissions) brokerages, agency expenses, physicians' fees, etc..... 5,400,061.19  
Office and law expenses, rentals, salaries, advertising, printing, etc..... 1,082,662.86— \$20,052,526.04

## ASSETS.

Cash on deposit, on hand, and in transit..... \$6,348,924.46  
United States Bonds and other bonds, stocks and securities (market value \$67,250,384.74)..... 63,867,546.16  
Real Estate..... 14,341,917.35  
Bonds and Mortgages, first lien on real estate (buildings thereon insured for \$15,000,000 and the policies assigned to the Company as additional collateral security)..... 19,446,083.13  
Temporary Loans (market value of securities held as collateral, \$5,391,511)..... 4,168,000.00  
\*Loans on existing policies (the Reserve on these policies, included in Liabilities, amounts to over \$2,000,000)..... 431,108.71  
\*Quarterly and semi-annual premiums on existing policies, due subsequent to January 1, 1891..... 1,858,327.00  
\*Premiums on existing policies in course of transmission and collection. (The reserve on these policies, included in Liabilities, is estimated at \$2,000,000)..... 1,431,828.15  
Agency balances..... 195,812.91  
Accrued interest on investments, January 1, 1891..... 474,823.52— \$112,564,371.39  
Market value of securities over cost value on Company's books..... 3,363,438.56  
\*A detailed schedule of these items will accompany the usual annual report filed with the Insurance Department of the State of New York.

**TOTAL ASSETS, January 1, 1891, - - - - - \$115,947,809.97**

Appropriated as follows:  
Approved losses in course of payment..... \$613,040.54  
Reported losses awaiting proof, etc..... 364,562.44  
Matured endowments, due and unpaid (claims not presented)..... 39,889.77  
Annuities due and unpaid (claims not presented)..... 22,901.63  
Reserved for re-insurance on existing policies (Actuaries' table 4 per cent. interest)..... 99,954,304.00  
Reserved for premiums paid in advance..... 54,600.53

**\$101,049,359.11**

Surplus, Company's Standard..... \$14,898,450.86  
Consisting of  
Estimated Contingent Tontine Surplus Fund..... \$8,670,539.50  
Estimated General Surplus..... 6,227,911.36

From the undivided surplus, as above, the Board of Trustees have declared a Reversionary Dividend to participating policies in proportion to their contribution to surplus, available on settlement of next annual premium.

## GROWTH OF THE COMPANY DURING THE PAST DECADE.

NEW INSURANCE ISSUED.	INSURANCE IN FORCE.	ASSETS.	ANNUAL INCOME.
In the year 1880..... \$22,229,929	Jan. 1, 1881..... \$135,726,916	Jan. 1, 1881..... \$43,183,934	1880..... \$8,964,719
In the year 1885..... 68,521,452	Jan. 1, 1886..... 259,074,500	Jan. 1, 1886..... 66,864,321	1885..... 16,121,172
In the year 1890..... 159,576,065	Jan. 1, 1891..... 569,398,726	Jan. 1, 1891..... 115,947,810	1890..... 32,158,100

Number of policies issued during the year, 45,754. New Insurance, \$159,576,065.  
Total number of policies in force January 1, 1891, 173,469. Amount at Risk, \$569,338,726.

## TRUSTEES:

WILLIAM H. APPLETON.  
WILLIAM H. BEERS.  
WILLIAM A. BOOTH.  
HENRY BOWERS.  
JOHN CLAFIX.  
ROBERT B. COLLINS.  
H. C. MORTIMER.

ALEX. STUDWELL.  
WALTER H. LEWIS.  
EDWARD MARTIN.  
RICHARD MUSE.  
C. C. BALDWIN.  
E. N. GIBBS.  
W. B. HORNBLLOWER.

JOHN N. STEARNS.  
WM. L. STRONG.  
W. F. BUCKLEY.  
HENRY TUCK.  
A. H. WELCH.  
L. L. WHITE.

**WILLIAM H. BEERS, President.**  
**HENRY TUCK, Vice-President.**  
**ARCHIBALD H. WELCH, 2d Vice-Pres.**  
**RUFUS W. WEEKS, Actuary.**

**THEODORE M. SANTA, Cashier.**  
**A. MONTINGTON, M. D., Medical Director.**

## LEGAL NOTICES.

**IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER MADE BY HON. ROGER A. PRYOR, one of the Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, the 20th day of February, 1891, notice is hereby given to all the creditors and persons having claims against Charles H. Hamilton and William F. Bishop, or either of them individually, or as composing the firm of Hamilton & Bishop, lately doing business in the City of New York, that they are required to present their claims with the vouchers therefor, duly verified, to the subscriber, the duly appointed assignee of the said Charles H. Hamilton and William F. Bishop, individually and as composing said firm for the benefit of their creditors, at his place of transacting business, at the office of his attorneys, Taylor & Parker, No. 45 Wall street, in the City of New York, on or before the 23d day of May, 1891.**  
New York, February 26, 1891.  
HERMAN AARON, Assignee.

TAYLOR & PARKER, Attorneys for Assignee, 45 Wall Street, New York City.

## LEGAL NOTICES.

**IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER MADE BY THE HON. ROGER A. PRYOR, one of the Judges of the Court of Common Pleas for the City and County of New York, on the 10th day of February, 1891, notice is hereby given to all the creditors and persons having claims against Herbert S. Renton, lately doing business in the City and County of New York under the name of Herbert S. Renton, that they are required to present their claims with the vouchers therefor, duly verified, to the subscriber, the duly appointed Assignee of the said Herbert S. Renton for the benefit of his creditors, at his place of transacting business, No. 405 Cherry street, in the City of New York, on or before the 23d day of May, 1891.**  
Dated New York, March 24, 1891.

HENRY WELLINGTON, Assignee.  
AMUNDSON & WARD, Attorneys for Assignee, 146 Broadway, New York City.

# SCOTT'S EMULSION

Of Pure Cod Liver Oil with  
Hypophosphites  
Of Lime and Soda.

There are emulsions and emulsions, and there is still much skimmed milk which masquerades as cream. Try as they will many manufacturers cannot so disguise their cod liver oil as to make it palatable to sensitive stomachs. Scott's Emulsion of PURE NORWEGIAN COD LIVER OIL, combined with Hypophosphites is almost as palatable as milk. For this reason as well as for the fact of the stimulating qualities of the Hypophosphites, Physicians frequently prescribe it in cases of

## CONSUMPTION.

SCROFULA, BRONCHITIS and  
CHRONIC COUGH or SEVERE COLD.  
All Druggists sell it, but be sure you get the genuine, as there are poor imitations.

## RECRUIT SUBSCRIPTION BOOKS AT THE OLD PRICES.

For the convenience of persons wishing to send THE STANDARD ON TRIAL TO THEIR FRIENDS, we have prepared recruit subscription books. They are handsomely bound in heavy alligator paper, and sold at prices regulated by the number of blanks in each book.

These blanks are each an order on THE STANDARD to SEND THE PAPER to the person designated, and they save all trouble of remittance, as they are paid for in advance. As soon as one of the blanks reaches our business office a postal card is sent to the person designated, informing him that at the request of the sender THE STANDARD will be sent to him FOR FOUR WEEKS, beginning with the next issue, and that in case he does not wish to continue it IT WILL BE STOPPED AT THE END OF THAT TIME. This attracts more attention to it than is given to a sample copy sent out directly from the office.

EVERY ACTIVE WORKER FOR THE SINGLE TAX should have in his pocket a recruit subscription book, in order that he may be able to tear out the blanks and order the paper sent to anyone with whom he has been having an argument on the subject. If our friends will USE THE STUBS IN THE BOOKS and keep a record of those to whom they order the paper sent they will be able to follow the matter up and probably make converts.

## PRICES.

Five subscriptions..... \$1 00  
Twelve subscriptions..... 2 00  
Thirty-five subscriptions..... 5 00

THE STANDARD,  
No. 42 University place, New York City.

## LEGAL NOTICES.

**IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER MADE BY HON. ROGER A. PRYOR, one of the Judges of the Court of Common Pleas for the City and County of New York, on the 2d day of February, 1891, notice is hereby given to all creditors and persons having claims against Elisha T. Weymouth, lately doing business at No. 5 Howard street, in the City and County of New York, that they are required to present their claims with the vouchers therefor duly verified, to the subscriber, the duly appointed assignee of the said Elisha T. Weymouth, for the benefit of his creditors, at his office, No. 234 Broadway, New York City, on or before the 28th day of April, 1891.**

Dated New York, February 13th, 1891.  
FRANK E. HIPPLE, Assignee.  
H. B. WHITEBECK, Attorney for Assignee, 234 Broadway, New York.

**IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER MADE BY THE HON. ROGER A. PRYOR, one of the Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, on the 12th day of February, 1891, notice is hereby given to all the creditors and persons having claims against Edward H. Ammidown, lately doing business in the City of New York, that they are required to present their claims with the vouchers therefor duly verified, to the subscriber, the duly appointed assignee of the said Edward H. Ammidown, for the benefit of his creditors, at his place of transacting business, No. 58 Worth street, in the City of New York, on or before the 1st day of May, 1891.**  
New York, February 14th, 1891.

ALBERT D. SMITH, Assignee.

**IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER MADE BY THE HON. ROGER A. PRYOR, one of the Judges of the Court of Common Pleas in and for the City and County of New York, on the 19th day of February, 1891, notice is hereby given to all the creditors and persons having claims against Patrick J. MacKeon, lately doing business at Nos. 210 and 212 Sixth avenue, New York City, that they are required to present their claims with the vouchers therefor, duly verified, to the subscriber, the duly qualified and appointed assignee of the said Patrick J. MacKeon, for the benefit of his creditors, at his place of transacting business, No. 120 Broadway, in the City of New York, on or before the 14th day of May, 1891.**  
Dated, New York, February 24, 1891.

JAMES DUANE SQUIRES, Assignee.  
E. V. THOMALL, Attorney for Assignee, No. 120 Broadway, New York City.

## Holland's Coffee and Dining Rooms

148 Fourth Av., bet. 12th and 14th Sts.